G. R. S. App. F. IX-4]

194 -

File No. 112-34

Previous References. Law. 112-34-(1-2) 18 May 35
Mur. 188-34-(1-2) 8 Mar 1936 (Law)
87-32-(1-7) " Oct 32

Serial Nos. 5-17.

Later References.
Mur. 185-40-(3-4) 8. April 41.
71.43 - 1-4.

Preserve

PRESERVED

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 15 only.

Part B for August 1940

SUBJECT.

(G.S. no. 17/36-35 on the file of the D.J.-Bangalore

(Govt. by M.T. Narayan Iyengar)

Serial No.

Extract from Law file 112-34

From.

The PBr. B'lore

Do no. 693/xxxx d 8.1.35 requesting for the records relating to the Case

1) The marginally noted Sett file, may perhaps be sent to the PBr. for perusal and return. no other records are available here. He may be asked to obtain the relevant papers if there are any from the M.C. direct

Mug. 87-33 & 65-31

Yes - Dft Please (sd) mej 16/1/35

2) Mug Br. may be requested to return the linked file (now separated) containing the order passed on the admitted question

TSD 16/1

3) Copy of the letter dt 15.1.35 addressed M.C. has to be sent to PBr (sd)

4) Dft submitted accordingly

TSD 16/1

5) Issue - This file may be closed with the D.O. dt 16.11.3[illegible] & sent as Law 112/xx. I have included this in the Dft

NOTE—Contn. Sheets

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

File No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

To,

The Pr. Bl'ore

no. P. 3529 d 18.1.35 forwarding the Secrett file for reference & return
Copy to H.C.

To,

6) Mys Bn } for retaining the linked file and returning this
H.C.

TSD 21/1

The linked Municipal file is retained and this is returned to Law Section with Compliments.

BPS 22/1/35

GS 22/1

From,

The Pr. Bl'ore

no. 765/mvss d 21.1.35 stating that he was under the impression that the Board had recognised the rights of Govt and hence he did not implead it as Defts and that if the right of Govt is questioned now, either an appn may be filed to implead the Bd as Defts in the matter he deals with separately and soliciting instructions in the matter.

8) The Pr may perhaps be asked to file an appn to implead the Mys. Dn. Bd as Defts

Serial No.

9) What reply has the Dt Bd given to the letter that the mun. Comr must have addressed in accordance with the instructions conveyed in the letter of 15.1.35

DDY
26/1/35

To,

10) Muz. Br. (w.e.) for favour of furnishing the information required.

ISD
26/1

HON. M. GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT 28 JAN 1935

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT 859 28 JAN. 1935 MUZRAI BRANCH

11) The Connected file is marked. The M.C has been asked to take further action (about impleading the Dt. Board Mysore in the Suit to be filed on behalf of Mr Yatiraja Mutt) in accordance with legal opinion. Hence the required information will have to be obtained from the M.C by the Law Section.

Law Section with Compts
Recd.
28/1/35

12) Subt for perusal.

It is for orders whether the M.C may be enquired about action has been taken in the matter

ISD
28/1

13) Pgs
DDY
29/1/35

14) Dft for sent up accordingly

ISD
30/1

15) Issued
DDY
31/1

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

05.12/35 – Dr. Judge. Mrs.
5625 (– Smt. Vasantha Mahalingam
7581

General and Revenue Secretariat | Law File No. 112 of 1934 | [App. F. III–2

Serial No.

16) A copy of the G.O in Judge. The Law Secretary with compliments for necessary further action

8/4

17) Subd for favour of signature to me

TSD 8/4

Issue

Issued No P5665 8/4

10/4/35

TYPING SECTION. 9. APR. 1935 GENL & REV. SECTT.

Dear Sir,

Please pursue this in the suit file.

Yours

Re Mr. B'lore

No. 1278/35-36 & 10.6.36 intimate that the above case stands posted to 27/36 for filing written depts

18) Have we for copies of all the statements in this case?

(Sd) MRE 11

19) No copies of these statements are not available in the file

20) Subd for a dft calling for the same.

TSD 17/6

21) Have we a copy of the Plaint?

MRE 17/6

22) Copy of the plaint is also not available.

Dft revised accordingly

TSD 18/6

TYPING SECTION. 18. JUN. 1936 GENL & REV. SECTT.

23) Issue MRE 18/6

Issued no. P. 6486 dt 20.6.36

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

OS 17/34-35 = Dr. Judge. (3 Love (for ~ M.T. rangunalgar notes)

Law File No. 112 of 1936.

General and Revenue Secretariat

From

The Mng. Comm^r

no. 120/34-35 dt 3.7.36 forwarding a Copy of the letter d 22.6.36 from the Pres.^{dent} Dist. B^d. Mysore stating that the B^d have resolved that they may be impleaded as a defendant, and soliciting instructions whether the Govt. B'lore may be instructed to include the Dt. B^d as a Def^t in the above case, and get a declaration in respect of the St. certificates in question

4) Said reference is invited to para 12-13 of the notes in the linked file. The Govt. B'lore may be instructed to file an application to implead the Dt. B^d Mys. as a Def^t in the above case.

Sub^d for orders

15/7/37

25) In the circumstances reported, the Dt B^d Mysore is a necessary party and may be impleaded. The Govt Bangalore will be duly instructed in the matter

26) Gen-Secy) Govt Advt 16/7 7/7

concerned

Sec^d Member 27) 13/7/36

First Member 28)

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 11 JULY 1936 No. 300

FIRST MEMBER 14 JULY 1936 No. 309

14/7 Govt 29) 15/7 30) Advt

O.S. No 17/34-35 (55 Sub Judge-K
Govt v M T Narayanaiya & Co

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Law File No. of 1934 | [App. F. III-2

31) D/f Sub²
15/7

32) Issue
pml
16/7

Issued to P.Pr., Blore in No. P 573 Law 112-34-4 dated 21st July 1936 stating that the Dt Board, Kyasne is a necessary party and may be impleaded in O.S. 17/34-35 of Dt. Jdl, Blore.



33) Forwarded to the Muzrai Branch with Compliments for note & return
Sd/-
24.7.36

34) Submd for perusal
25/7 25.7.36.
dcs 25/7/36

35) Law Secretariat Misc
26.7.36.
27/7

From
The P. Pr. Blore
Letter no. 279/36-37, Dt. 10-8-36 stating that the necessary applns will be prepared and sent for approval.

36) Subd. for perusal
11/8

37) pml 11/8

Sd/-
28/7

Sd/-
27/7

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

O. S. 17/34-35 & Add. H. C. J. B'lore
(Govt. vs M. T. Narayana Iyengar & others) 1617

Law File No. 112 of 1934

General and Revenue Secretariat

From

The P. P. B'lore

No. 476/36-37, dt 9-9-36 Requesting that amount to be deposited in Court may kept in readiness.

38) The P. P. says that about Rs 150/- will be the estimate of costs & fees, & the payment will have to be made soon as the application is allowed. submd. for orders.

39) In his letter of 10 august-1936, the P. P. says that he would send the appln for approval of govt — Please enquire when this will be done & say that with it he may send a detailed estimate of costs necessary to be incurred above.

14/9

40) Subs. a dft for approval

14/9

41) Issue as desired

15/9

No P. 1935, dt 17-9-36

TYPING SECTION
15 SEP. 1936
GENERAL SECTT.

O.S. 17/34-35 Addl. Dist. Jr., Bhore

)V258

General & Revenue Secretariat.

Law File No. 112 of 1934. [App. F. III–2

Serial No.

From

The P. Pr., B'lore

Letter no 563/36-37, dy 23-9-36 forwarding an applⁿ & affidavit filed in Court to implead the President, Dist Board, Mysore as a defendant.

42) The P. Pr has furnished that a sum of Rs 105-12-0 will be required towards Court fee & other expenses. In his prelims letter, Flag-N, he has stated that the amount has to be deposited in Court as soon as the applⁿ is allowed.

Subd for orders.

26/9 WGK

43) The ct fee has to be paid after the applⁿ to amend the plaint is allowed. This may therefore be awaited.

MM 26.9.36

From

The P. Pr., B'lore

Letter no 801/36-37, dy 21-10-36

Hg: Date 2-11-36

States that orders were passed to implead the President, Dt Board, Mysore as a defendant.

44) Subd for orders with reference to paras 42 & 43 supra. The Mysg Commr may perhaps be directed to meet the expense from the General Mysg Fund and the file sent & then laid off by for Rema & Return.

27/10 WGK

45) This may be done

Gen. Secy

GENERAL SECRETARY. 30 OCT. 1936

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

O.S. 17/34-35 Dt. Ct. B Hosa 1567
(Govt of M.T. Narayana Gowda)

File No. Law of 193 4

General and Revenue Secretariat

4b) Is the payment of cost to be a final charge on the Gen. Mun. Fund or is it an advance to be recouped from the funds of the Instt. later?

Jnw
31/10,

4) The cost has to be met from the funds of the Instt. If there are not sufficient funds available immediately, an advance may be made from the Gen. Mun. Funds.

Gen Secy) mc 7/11
Jnw 6/11

48 Draft
mc 6/11

d. with a dft. for approval.

7/11 Gen Secy) Please consult mc 9/11 Jnw 7/11

U.O.No. P 94 of 36 dated 9.11.36

50) Forwarded with Compts to the Mun Comr. for opinion remarks

Mysore
Jr Gen Secy
9/11/36

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
4 NOV. 1936
25

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
9 NOV. 1936
62

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
No. C 120 34-35
10 NOV. 1936
MYSORE CANTT.

O.S. 17/34-35 H. Ct, Blore 2583

(Govt Vs M.T. Narayana Iyengar & others)

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. Law 112 of 1934. | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

U.O. No. 120 34-5 A.L. 11.36

Returned with compliments.

51) As the Mutt has Got only a sum of Rs 9-2-5 at its credit, the amount required towards Court Fee and other expenses, viz., Rs 105-12-0 may be advanced from the General Muzrai Fund subject to adjustment later on in due course as proposed.

25-11-1936.

M.V. Chamtaramam
Muzrai Commissioner.

GENERAL SECRETARY. 28 NOV. 1936

52) Done dft 28/4

28.11

28. NOV. 1936 SECTT.

To

The Muzr. Commr
The P. Pr, Blore
The Comptr to Govt.

G.O. No P.3564. dated 30-11-36

From

The P. Pr, B'lore

Letter no. 2073-4/36-37, dt 22-5-37

States that the defendants have put forward a proposal for a Compromise.

53) It is reported by the P. Pr

3-12-36

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417—GPB—30,000—8-9-36

O: S 17/34-35 Dt. Ct. B'lore
(Govt. Vs M. T. Narayana Iyengar) 6603

Law File No. 112 of 1934

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

that the defendants have put forward a proposal for a Compromise, namely to entrust the management of the Mutt to a Committee consisting of five persons, three to be nominated by the present Swamigalu and two by Govt. It is further stated that if this proposal is accepted by Govt they are willing to hand over all the properties of the Mutt to the Committee and have the Suit Compromised.

Mug: Comm's opinion in the matter may perhaps be obtained.

Subd for orders.

52) If approved, the Mysore Commissioner will be officially consulted about the proposed Compromise

BKR 24/5

(Gen. Secy)

53) Yes, Inv U.O.No. P 18936 Dated 25.5.37 25/5

54) forwarded, with compliments, to the Mysore Commissioner in Mysore, for favour of remarks

Yes

BK Ramakrishnaniya for Gen. Secy 25.5.37

55) Please obtain copies of the pleadings from the Pr.

BKR 24/5

Pr Subd Seperately

BKR 24/5

GENERAL SECRETARIAT

24 MAY 1937

26-20

OFFICER OF THE MYSORE COMMISSIONER No. 5 MAY 1937 IN MYSORE BANGALORE

Recd 5.P.M.

O. S. 14/34-35 Ad. Ct. Bkore
(Govt Vs N. T. Narayana Iyengar)

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. Laws 112 of 1934 | [App. F. III—2

IMMEDIATE | Serial No. 120/34-35 Ht. 58

Government have, in their plaint, requested that the defendants should hand over possession of all assets belonging to the institution in whatever manner of ownership to them. Instead of this, the defendants agree to hand over the properties not to the Government, but to a Committee consisting of five persons of the community, three to be nominated by the present Swamigalu and two by Governmen If the present compromise is accepted, it will frustrate the object of filing the suit and conducting it so far at a heavy cost. The management of the Mutt properties has been assumed by Government under Section 25 of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation, and this Section and the subsequent sections dealing with Mutts and similar institutions do not contemplate the appointment of any Committee for the management of the Mutt properties. If the compromise is accepted, it would create an anamolou s position. Government having undertaken the management under a statute, they are entitled to the possession of the properties unhampered by any conditions. As the acceptance of the proposed compromise according to which th properties would be handed over to the new Committee and not to Government will nullify the order assuming management under Section 25 and is likely to lower the prestige of Government in not enforcing their order, it seems to b better to take a judicial decision in the matter.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417--GPB—30,000—8-9-36

O. S. 12/34-35 Dft. Ct. B'Kam
(Govt. Vs K. T. Narayana Iyengar)

677

Law File No. 112 of 1934.

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

The Swamigalu, who was also requested to furnish his opinion in the matter, is not willing for a compromise. (A copy of his communication in the matter is herein enclosed.)

Returned with compliments.

1-6-1937. Muzrai Commissioner.

59) Copies of pleadings received from the P.P. may be put up and the file submitted

BAR
2.6.37

60) Submitted with the above

61) As pointed out by the Muzrai Commissioner, the appointment of a Committee for management of Mutt properties is not contemplated in the Regulation. The terms of the compromise proposed by the defendants seems to be somewhat derogatory to the prestige of the Government. The Swamigalu is not in favour of the proposed compromise and the Muzrai Commissioner is also of opinion that taking a judicial decision in the matter is better than

Hg. Dake
7-6-37

Fle

GENERAL SECRETARY
2 JUNE 1937

O. S. 17/34-35 of C. B Kolar 6607
(Govt vs M. T. Narayana Iyengar) 6603

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. Laws 112 of 1934. | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

agreeing to proposed compromise.

62) In these circumstances, the proposal for the compromise may not perhaps be accepted.

1st Member through Chief Secy)
(Sr member)

BKR 3.6.37

63) MS 4.6.
BKR 5-6-37

Hg. Date 7-6-37

64) JPL 6/6

65) Received on 6/6/37. Sent informal intimation to Public Prosr today. Asstt Secy Laws for Kindly informing P.P. & M.C. formally today.

Ind 7/6/37

66) Immediate Draft.
BKR 7.6.37

67) Subd with a dft. for approval.
B 7/6

68) Issue new (Enrolment without a seal)
BKR 7.6
Issued to H.C. & P.P. vide no. P. 6788, dt 7-6-37
(Copy to P.P. Kolar)

69) Subd with a dft. for approval.
B. 8/6

70) Issue
BKR 8.6

TYPING SECTION 8 JUN. 1937 GEN. & REV. SECTT.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417—GPB—30,000—8-9-36

O. S. 17/34-35 of ct. B'lore 6930
(Govt. Vs. K. T. Narayana Iyengar)

Law File No. 112 of 1934. | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

The P. P., B'lore

Progress Report No. 1998-9/36-37, dt. 7-6-37, states that the above case has been adjourned to 14-6-37 to report Compromise, if any, and to bring the legal representatives of the 6th defendant (who is reported to be dead) on record.

71) It is reported by the P. P., B'lore that the 6th defendant in the case is dead who is legal representative, have to be brought on record. He requests for the names and addresses of the legal representatives.

72) A draft requesting the Mun: Commr: to furnish the names and addresses of the legal representatives, is put up for approval. (directed to the P. P.)

73) Submitted today.
BNR
10-6

Attached to the Mun: Commr: in No. P. 6931, dt. 10-6-37.
(Copy to P. P. B'lore)

7329

O.S. 17/34-35 of C. B Kore
(Govt. vs K. T. Narayana Iyengar & others)

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 112 of 1934. | [App. F. III—2

Law

Serial No.

From

The Mug: Commr

Letter no Ht 120/34-35, dt 26-6-37

Requests sanction of Govt to advance a further sum of Rs 75/- from the closing balance of the Gen: Mug: Fund as there is not sufficient cash balance at the credit of the Mutt.

74) It is seen from the P. Bs letter to the Mug: Commr (Flag S) that a sum of Rs 75/- has to be paid to the Commissioner who examined the Swamigals as Commissioner. The Mug: Commr requests sanction of Govt to advance this amount from the closing Balance of the Mug: Gen: Mug: Fund, subject to adjustment due course, as there is not sufficient cash balance at the credit of the Mutt

75) In the circumstances reported sanction may perhaps be accorded.

Submitted for orders.

BR 1/7

76) Sanction may be accorded

BKR 1/7

Gen. secy) 76) Rec'd 6/7/37

BKR 10.7.37

to Secy

first member

6/7 10.7.37

GENERAL SECRETARY 1 - JULY 1937 3773

FI... SECRETARIAT G.O.No. Fl:...116..... 12. JUL. 1937

NOTE—Count. Sheet.

WD 0417—GPB—30,000—8-9-36

78) JPR 24/7

77) Rec'd 24/7 BKR 24/7

79) draft a G.O. BKR 22/7

O.S. 17/34-35 dt. ch B Var
(Gst vs K. T. Narayana Iyengar)

File No. Laws 112 of 1934

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.



80) Subd with a dft for approval

27/7

81) Drm BNR 27.7

G.O. no P.529, dt. 27-7-37.

Sec C
30-7-37

Civil Suit on behalf of Gattiraj Mutt vs 4604 & 4903

General and Revenue Secretariat Law File No. 112 of 1934 [App. F. III—2

Serial No.	
10	From The Mer Commr. No HC 120/34-5 dy 16-2-38 Forwards for orders a copy of letter from the P pr, R-lone Dn stating that the defendants have offered fresh terms of Compromise. 87) The terms offered by the defendants are clearly stated in paras 1 & 2 of the P pr's letter (H.J). Kind reference is invited to paras 58-62 of the notes supra wherein the terms offered by the defendants previously were considered and found not acceptable by Govt. The defendants are now stated to be willing to hand over all the suit-properties to Govt; but they request that some one person or persons be appointed by the Mer Commr from out of the Nandyam community for offering suggestions to Mer Commr in connection with the affairs of the Mutt 83) The Mer Commr states that the Swamigalu, who was consulted in the matter, is not for a compromise. For orders.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0284—GPB—30,000—13-8-37

21/2/38

83) As pointed out by the Munsif Commissioner, the Religious and Charitable Institutions Act does not contemplate, in respect of a Mutt under the Govt. management, the appointment of a person or persons to give suggestions regarding the affairs of the Mutt. This part of the proposal is therefore unacceptable.

84) It has to be ascertained, as suggested by the Munsif Commr, whether the plaint Schedule is exhaustive or whether the Defendants are in possession of any other properties of the Mutt and would agree to hand over those properties also.

85) There is one other point which is not referred to either by the P.P. or by the Munsif Commr. A Sum of nearly Rs 1500/- has already been spent on this litigation and it is likely that bills amounting to about Rs 250/- may have yet to be met. Defendants' proposals in the matter of meeting the costs incurred by Govt have also to be called for.

86) The Munsif Commr will

O. S. 12/34-35 dt. ch. DB Case
(Govt. & M. T. Narayana Iyengar & others)

General and Revenue Secretariat | Law File No. 112 of 1934. | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

be requested to obtain information on the above points, and on receipt of the information, the question of accepting the terms of the Compromise may be considered

87) Gen. Secy) 2/2/38. BNR 22.2.38

88) Draft BNR 22.2

89) Subd with a dft. for approval. 23/2

90) Issue Sd/- BNR 23.2

GENERAL SECTION 22 FEB. 1938 4761

To

The Mag: Commr

Letter No. P 4887, dt 23-2-38

Requests him to ascertain and report certain information called for.

Sd/- A 23-2-38

From

The Mysr Commr

Letter No. K120/36-5 dt 7-3-38

States that the other properties—in the shape of current & fixed deposits amounting to Rs 9000/- are in the possession of the defendants who are willing to

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

4903

OS.17/34-5 Sd Cent. Sec. 229 B Core

File No. Govt. Vs. M. T. Narayana Iyengar & Others of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

Land over these properties also and that as regards costs, each party is proposed to bear their own costs.

91) Reference - paras - 83 - 87 Supra.

Next date of hearing 10-3-38

9/3/38 for orders.

92) Except regarding the costs of this, the proposals of the defendants seem to be suitable. The Asst Commr apparently considers that the proposals are reasonable.

93) The question whether the Govt should bear their own costs (amounting to Rs 1750/-) is for orders.

94) The Swamigalu of the Mutt is not agreeable to the compromise (See enclosure to P.N.D.I), but this decision does not seem to be based on sound reasoning.

BNR
8-3-38

95) Gen: Secy) For perusal. The defendants have cause for this litigation and should reimburse the costs incurred by Govt. If they agree to this the

GENERAL SECRETARY
9 MAR 1938
5-1/3

O.S. 17/5229/34/35 Addl. Dt. ct. B'lore
(Govt. vs/ M. T. Narayana Iyengar & others)
— Compromise —

General and Revenue Secretariat | Law File No. 112 of 1934. | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Compromise may be accepted. Inc 9/3/38.
(For Member) BKR 9.3.38

OFFICE OF THE FIRST ... MAR 10 1938 5995

96) 9/3 Inc 10/3/38 BKR

98) Dft put up as per orders in para 95 supra 10.3

99) Issue now BKR 10.3

TYPING SECTION. 10 MAR 1938 GEN. & REV. SECTT.

Issued - No P-5095 dt 10-3-38

For C. 11/3

From

The Mysr: Commr

Letter no HC 120/34-35, dated 25th March'38 forwards a draft Scheme of Compromise proposed by the defendants, and requests orders of Govt in the matter

Reference paras 92 to 95.

99) P. V. D. is submitted for perusal and orders.

100) To the point raised by the Gen Secy: in para 95, the Mysr: Commr has sent an answer in para 4 of P. V. D.

26/3

Hg date 28-3-38.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0284—GPB—30,000—13-8-37

S. 17/34-35. Add'l. Dt. Ch. B'lore 5601
(Govt. vs M. T. Narayana Iyengar & others)
Compromise

Law File No. 112 of 1934 | General and Revenue Secretariat

101) Kind reference
vide Paras 92 to 96.

102) The Munsif Commissioner now sends up detailed proposals regarding the Compromise, and requests that the Govt may accept the terms.

103) On the last occasion, it was ordered that the terms may be accepted if the defendants are prepared to pay the costs incurred by Govt. But the Munsif Commissioner writes again (agreeing with the opinion of the P.P.) that the defendants' proposal that parties may bear their own costs may be accepted. In view of the Munsif. Comm'r's repeated recommendation, the Govt may perhaps agree that the parties may bear their own costs.

For orders

BKR
26.3.38

Hg. 28.3.38
The General Secretary is on leave today
BKR
28.3

1st Member)

104) JPM
26/3

105) Draft BKR 26.3

106) Submd. with a dft. for approval.
No. P. 5354. dt. 26-3-38

107) Issued now BKR 26.3

O. S. 7/34-35 Addl. Dt. Jd., Blore
(Govt. Vs. M. T. Narayana Iyengar & the

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. Laws 112 of 1934. | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

From

The Mysg: Commr.

Letter no. HC 120/34-35, dy 29-8-38

Forwards for information of Govt a certified copy of the decree passed in the case.

108) The Mysg: Commr. has forwarded a copy of the decree (Flag S) passed in the case. The same is submitted for perusal after which it will be returned to the Mysg: Commr. as desired.

B 3/9

109) The decree is in terms of the proposals submitted by the Mysg Commr in his letter of 25-3-38 (Flag L)

BKR 5-9

Addl Secy)

110) G 7/9/38

BKR 7-9

Advocate's fee not assessed.

111) Subd. with a draft for approval.

B 9/9

112) DSIne BKR 9-9

Issued no. P 1618, dy 21-9-38

113) Mysg: File No. 188-34 Sh 1-2 sent to the P. P., Blore for reference has not been returned. A draft calling for the same is put up for approval.

B 5/11

114) DSIne BKR 5-11

Issued no. P 2238, dy 9-11-38

(+Vide Flag T.)

TYPING SECTION 9. SEP. 1938 GEN. & REV. SECTT.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD. 0284—GPB—30,000—13-8-37

Sri A 9-11-38

734/P

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

115) Draft to P.M. is put up for approval

Khuda 20/12

116) Yes

P 4359 returned on 22.12.39



Serial No.

Letter no. C.H.C. no. 120 dated 8th February 1940 from the Mairgrai Commissioner forwarding two draft deeds and requesting that the opinion of the law department may kindly be obtained and furnished thereon.

117) The connected law file 112-39 is also put up for reference.

Submitted for orders.

118) Is the decree copy in the file? If not, please ask the Mairgrai Commissioner to send the decree copy for reference & return.

3
20/2/40

119) The decree copy has been returned to the Mercy Court vide this office letter P. 1618/Law 112-39 dated 21st September 1939.

120) Draft to the Mercy Court requesting him to forward the certified copy of decree in the case for reference and return, is herewith put up for approval

22/2

121) 3
22/2

Issued No P 5801, dt 23-2-40

From
The Munsif Comm.
Letter No. Hc 120, dt 28-2-40
Forwarding a certified copy of

For A
23-2-40

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

(Govt. Vs M.T. Narayana Iyengar) 5264/5681

File No. Law 112 of 1934

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

decree in O.S. 17/34-35 on the file of the Addl. Dist. Judge, Bellary. Refce para 118 supra.

122) The Revg. Commr. has forwarded the decree copy (Flag T).

P.V.D. is for perusal and orders.

123) M. is better that a Sale Deed be executed by Mr. Bradley in favour of Mr. Murthi Swamy & not in favour of the Devasthanam.

124) The G.A. ~~should be~~, may be consulted in the matter, & the may be requested to ~~be~~ send to us Draft Sale Deed

Law Secretary) 13/3/40

5364/15/3

125) How 15/3/40

G.O.No. 881 dated 15-3-40

126) The file is forwarded with compliments to the Govt. Advocate for favour of Sale Deed & return.

Confidential Asst. Law 15/3/40

7 ~~No.~~ No. 834/39-40 dt 15.3.40

27)

Returned with compliments.

(1) So far as properties in our State are concerned no

Ve.O. No. 69/39-40 dt 19.3.40.

SECY. LAW DEPT.
20 MAR. 1940
GOVT OF MYSORE

no document is necessary. Defendants who are persons of good status having consented to a compromise, will not likely give any trouble in obeying the directions in the decree which are nothing else than the terms voluntarily agreed to by them. At the worst, the decree can be executed against them to obtain the relief in the usual course.

(2) Regarding the property at Madras, the direction in the decree is that its ~~Kahat~~ Khata should be transferred from the defendant to the Mutt. But the Mutt eo nomine is not a party to the suit which ended in the compromise decree and so, execution of this part of the Decree so as to convey the benefit intended for the Mutt not a party to the litigation may not be possible even if execution proceedings are carried to Madras. But, as a matter of fact, the decretal direction provides only for transfer of Khata by which I understand, ordinary mutation proceedings in the public records by the authorities at Madras, where, if their procedure is the same as in our state, it will have to be done not by the execution of the documents, but by an application followed by an order. The defendants may be requested to do the needful and a copy of the decree produced, if necessary and put the Mutt in possession of the property in due course.

(3) Neither of the drafts proposed seems, in the circumstances, necessary, and so they are not scrutinised.

Government Advocate.

H/19.3.40

5581

Send a copy of the Legislative Measures Committee's Interim Report to Mr. Dutt as a Draft.

3
25/3/40

A draft to the Munj. Commr. is put up.

24/3

Dr Jensen begins Jr

Law Secretary) 3/25/3

661/26/3

Hm 2/26/3

Jr 3/26/3

Issued no P 6481, dt 28-3-40

5881 ∫ 1178

As. no 17 of 34-35 on the file of the District Judge Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat | Law File No. file 112-34. of 194 | [App. F. III-2

Serial No.

Letter no. T. 26 B dated 13th Augt 1935 from the PM Bangalore returning certain Secretariat collections sent to him in connection with the above case.

These collections were sent to the PM Bangalore District along with this office letter P 3527 dated 18th January 1935.

But certain other papers which are stated to have been filed in Court, have not yet been received back from the PM, viz. Pages 4 and 5 of the above collection 188-34 Sls 1-2.

Draft to the PM Bangalore District for approval.

29/8

Sd/-
28/8

P. 1359/ issued to PM Bangalore on 31/8/35.

Date Received from Above Branch on 5/9 with 5/9.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P 3527 / 18

Bangalore, Dated, 17th January 1935

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your D.O.No.693/34-35, dated 8th January 1935 requesting for the entire file of records relating to the proposed suit on behalf of Sri Yethiraj Mutt, I am directed to forward herewith the marginally noted Secretariat files for reference and return. Any other papers required may be obtained from the Muzrai Commissioner. I am in this connection enclosed herewith copy of letter No.1001/Muz.129-34 dated 15th January 1935 addressed to the Muzrai Commissioner regarding impleading the Mysore District Board as a defendant in the case.

Muz. 87-32 Sl. 1-7
" 65-31 Sl. 1-8
Law 112 of 1934.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- S. Abdul Wajid,
General Secretary to Government.

TRM.4

p.t.o.

Memo No. P. 3528 Bangalore, dated 17th January 1935

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

By Order,

For General Secretary to Govt.

TSD

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Bangalore, Dated, 17th January 1935

No.

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your D.O.No.693/34-35, dated 8th January 1935 requesting for the entire file of records relating to the proposed suit on behalf of Sri Yethiraj Mutt, I am directed to forward herewith the marginally noted Secretariat files for reference and return. Any other papers required may be obtained from the Muzrai Commissioner. I am in this connection enclosed herewith copy of letter No.1001/Muz.129-34 dated 15th January 1935 addressed to the Muzrai Commissioner regarding impleading the Mysore District Board as a defendant in the case.

Muz. 87-32 Sl. 1-7
" 65-31 Sl. 1-8
Law 112 of 1934.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- S. Abdul Wajid,
General Secretary to Government.

TRM.4

p.t.o.

Memo No. Bangalore, dated 17th January 1935

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, for information

By Order,

For General Secretary to Govt.

TSD

Appendix F. G.–1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section.

File No.

Serial No.

No. P-35-27/Sm 3/Files
2 accpn cy-ies

To

The PBr. B'lore

Sir,

With reference to Your D.O. no. 693/Sm35 d 8.1.35 requesting for ~~requesting to send~~ re-entire file of records relating to re proposed Suit ~~agt~~ on behalf of Sri Yellirajamutt, I am directed to forward herewith re marginally noted secretariat files for reference and return. Any other papers required may be obtained from the ~~Suits No other records are available here I am to request you to obtain the relevant papers from the Mny. Commr. direct~~

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat.

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 18/1/35

Signed by Sm M/R

Mny. 87-32 dt 1-7
" 65.31 dt 1-8.
Law 112 of 1934.

List of enclosures.....

Mny Comr

Copied by.....

I am in this connection to ~~forward~~ enclose herewith

Examined by..... Mny 1001 d 15.1.35

Copy of letter no. Mny 1001 d 15.1.35 addressed to the Mny Comr regarding the pleadings in the suit before the Munsif's Court, Bangalore as a defendant.

The impose of B's a defendant in the case- M P 3528

Cole to Mny Comr

I

Dft
4/1/35

4074 P 35

No. 759 31-35

Enc. .....

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,

DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Bangalore,.....21st January.....*193* 5.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
22 JAN 1935

FROM

G.S. Doraswamy Iyer, Esq.,B.A.,B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

To

The General Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.P.3527 dated the 18th instant, I have the honour to state that the plaint was lodged into court on 17th instant before your letter was received and hence the Mysore District Board was not impleaded as a party. The question of filing an application for amendment will be considered and a communication in the matter sent early.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

KHR.

Submitted for perusal

Further report may be awaited

No. 821/34-35

Enc. .....

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Bangalore,.....4th February.....*193* 5.

FROM

G.S. Doraswamy Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

SECRETARIAT
5 FEB

To

The General Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to state that the suit against the trustees of the Sri Yathiraja Mutt has been registered and numbered as O.S. No. I7/34-35 and has been posted to the 4th March I935 for return of summons of the defendants.

Is this correct?

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G S Doraswamy
Public Prosecutor.

KHR.

Suit? for perusal.
The suit is against the Dt. Co. B'lore.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 5th February *1935.*

No. F 4018

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with this office memo No.P.3528 dated 18th January 1935 re: the Civil Suit to be filed on behalf of Sri Yethiraj Mutt, I am directed to enquire what action has been taken on the suggestion made in the Chief Secretary's letter No.Muz.100 dated 15th January 1935 regarding the enquiry to be made of the Mysore District Board as to whether they would pay or be impleaded as a defendant in the case filed.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for General Secretary to Government.

TSD

Appendix F. G.–1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section. Law

File No. 112-34

Serial No.

No. D 4018/-

To

The Mug. Commr.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat.

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 6/2/35

Signed by for N.N.J.

Sir,

With reference to the Correspondence ending with the ~~letter~~ memo from this office no. S. 3518 d. 18.1.35 re: the Civil suit to be filed on behalf of Sri Yellaraj Mutt, I am directed to enquire what action has been taken on the suggestion made in the chief sec's ~~this office~~ letter no. Mug. 1001 d. 15.1.35 ~~re~~ regarding the enquiry ~~in the matter of~~ to be made of ~~whether to~~ ~~implead~~ the Mys. Govt. Sd: as to whether they would join or be impleaded as a defendant in the Case file

I have

for Secy.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

4563/34

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 12th February *193* 5.

13

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAU, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
13 FEB. 1935

TO S. Abdul Wajid, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

SIR,

Nanjangud-Chamarajnagar Railway Debentures belonging to the Sri Vethiraja Matha.

With reference to your letter No.P.4018/Law 112-34 dated 6th February 1935, I have the honor to state that no reply has been received from the President of the District Board. He was reminded on the 4th instant and a further reminder will be sent

Subject for perusal
Further report may be awaited.
JSD 18/2

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
N. Madhava Rau
Muzrai Commissioner.

SWL

Sub A 19/2 pml 18/2

F

5 182 / 934

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

Dated 6-3-1935

8

No. 933
Enc. 34-35

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
11 MAR 1935

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore

To 11/3

The General Secretary to the
Government of Mysore. Bangalore

SIR,

Subject:— O.S. 17/34-35 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore (Sri Jagathsinja Mutt by the Mysore Commissioner — Messrs M.T. Narayana Iyengar & others)

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to the 16th May 1935 as the Court was employed in Sessions at Tumkur

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

WD 0205—GPB—1,000—17-8-33

Appendix F. G.–1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section.

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat.

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 11/4/35

Signed by Mr M S I R

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

No. PS 701

To

The Mar Commr

Sir.

With reference to the correspondence ending w your letter no. 295/31-32 dated 13-2-1935 re Nanja gud - Chamarajanagara Ry Debe belonging to the Sric. Yathiraj Matha, I am directed to enqu whether any definite reply has been received from the Dist Board in the matter. The favour of an early repl is requested

Thanking

Dist
11/4

No. 1092
35-35

Enc. .....

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
BANGALORE DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Camp Bangalore, 14th May 1935.
5-45

FROM

G.S. Doraswamy Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
16 MAY 1935
Law

To

The General Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

RE: O.S.No.17/34-35 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore. Suit against the trustees of Sri Yethiraja Mutt.

I have the honour to state that the summons to the defendants I, 3 and 5 were served and the 5th defendant has filed his written-statement in court to-day. The 4th defendant is reported to be dead. I have requested the Muzrai Commissioner to furnish the names and addresses of the legal representatives of the 4th defendant and the correct address of the 2nd and 6th defendants to enable me to take further steps in the matter. The case is posted to the 12th July 1935 for filing necessary application in court.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G. Doraswamy Iyer
Public Prosecutor.

)VERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P 6531/31

Dated, Bangalore, 30th May 1935.

From

H.V. Ramaswami, Esquire, M.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

N. Madhava Rau, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to this office letter No. P. 5701 dated the 11th April 1935 re- Nanjangud-Chamarajanagar Railway Debentures belonging to the Sri Yathiraj Mutt, and to enquire when the information called for may be expected.

I have the honor to be,
S i r ,
Your most obedient servant,

for General Secretary to Government.

Appendix F. G.–1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section.

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. P6531

To

The Mir Commr

Sir .....

I am directed to invite reference to this o/c letter no P3.701 dated 11/4/1935 re Nanjangud-Chamarajnagar Dy Debentures belonging to the Sri Jayachamaraj Wadiyar and to enquire when the information called for may be expected.

I have etc

for Secretary to Govt

General and Revenue Secretariat.

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 31/5/35

Signed by

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

F

24/1935

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

No. 10
Enc. 35-36

Dated 5th July *1935*

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore *Division,*

To 10 JULY 1935 Bangalore

The General Secretary to the Government of Mysore. Bangalore

SIR,

Subject:— O.S. 17/34-35 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore.
(The Muzrai Commissioner — vs. Messrs. M.T. Narayana Iyengar & others)

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to the 12th August 1935 for issue of summons to the legal representatives of the 4th defendant.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

WD 0205—GPB—1,000—17-8-33

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

No. P 185

To

The Murrai Commr

in Mysore

Bangalore.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Sir,

Date of issue 10/7/35

I am directed to invite

Signed by M. N. J. R

reference to the correspondence

ending with this office letter

No P-65-31 dated 31-5-1935

re Nanjangud - Chamaraja

nagar Railway debentures

belonging to the Sri Jagathi

M-atha, and to request that

List of enclosures .....

the information called for

may kindly be sent

Copied by .....

very early

~~without delay~~.

Examined by.....

Thanete

WD 01956—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

Mc 7/7

for General Secy

An other Reminder is sent up

Remarks

Issue pre 87

Issued no. P. 185 d 10.7.35

Stage of disposal

9. JUL. 1935

1569

No. AHC 120/34-35

332 P 35

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,....12th July.....*193* 5.

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAU, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 13 JULY 1935

TO

H. V. Ramaswamy, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
.....General..... *Department.*

Subject

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.P.6531 dated 31st May '35 and subsequent reminder dated 10th July 1935 re: the Stock Certificates of Nanjangud-Chamarajanagar Railway Loan on behalf of the Sri Yathiraja Mutt, I have the honor to state that the President, District Board, Mysore, who was requested in this office letter No.295/31-32 dated 23rd January 1935 to furnish information, has not yet sent his opinion. He was reminded twice and a demi-official communication has been sent on 11th July 1935.

From whom received

Diary No. and date

Date of receipt by case-worker

Serial No.

G.R.S. III—3

Routine Notes.

876/35
P 835

120/34-35 OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,
Encl One. *Bangalore,*.....1st August.....*193* 5
2

FROM

C. N. MADHAVA RAU, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENL. & REV. SECTT. 150 5 AUG 1935

2 AUG. 1935

H. V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
3/8 *Secretary to the Government of His Highness*
the Maharaja of Mysore,

.....General..... *Department.*

SIR,

Stock Certificates Nos.131 and 132 of the Nanjangud-Chamarajanagar Railway Loan belonging to the Sri Yethiraja Matha.

332/P 835

In continuation of this office letter dated 12th July 1935 I have the honor to forward herewith copy of ad-interim reply No.C.807 L.B.34-35 dated 25th July 1935 received from the President, District Board, Mysore, re: the above.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

SMsA 1935

A dft. Reminder is put-up for a/cpt

Issued. 10/P/370
07/11/4/35

Another Reminder is put-up

TYPING SECTION 10 APR. 1935 GENL. & REV. SECTT.

27 MAY 1935 GENL. & REV. SECTT.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the Notes cannot be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Letter No.C.807 L.B.34-35 dated 25-7-35 from the President, District Board Mysore, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.H.C.120/34-35 dated 11th July 1935 re: the payment of the Stock Certificates Nos.131 and 1932 of the Nanjangud-Chamarajanagar Railway Loan stated to belong to the Sri Yethiraja Muttat Melkote, I have the honor to state that the approval of the Board appears necessary to pay away the amount without certificates. So, I will place your letter along with the legal opinion before the Board at their next meeting and intimate to you their decision.

"C O P Y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.4/7 L.E.34-CB dated 25-7-35 fr[illegible] the Secretary, Ottawa [illegible]
re. to the United Commissioner in Vienna.

In reference to your letter No.A.C.150/34-Ec [illegible] from
the account of the Stock Certificates Nos.151 and 1452 of [illegible]
[illegible]per railway Loan issued to belong to the [illegible]
hate the honor to state that the [illegible] of the Loard [illegible]
[illegible]ay the amount without certificates. 3, I will also [illegible] along with
the legal opinion b fore the Board as their next meeting and [illegible] the matter
shall [illegible] consideration.

Yor [illegible] Commissioner.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 23/10/35

Signed by Asst. V. A. Jayaraman

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. P 2790

To

The Municipal Commissioner
in Jaffna

Subject: Re Nallajangud-
Chemarajanagar Railway
Debentures belonging to Sri
Pathoraja Mutt

Sir

I am directed to refer
to the correspondence
ending with your letter
No. HC-120/30-35 dated 21/10/35
on the above subject
and to request that
Draft Board's reply
in the matter may kindly
be ~~obtained and~~
intimated early

I have etc

for Secretary

WD 03601—GPB—30,000—23-9-35

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P4986 Dated, Bangalore, 29th January 193/

30a-

From

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Development Department.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.H.C.120/34-35 dated 2nd August 1935 re: the stock certificates Nos. 131 and 132 of the Nanjangud-Chamarajnagar Railway loan belonging to Sri Yethiraja Matha and to enquire whether any definite reply has been received from President District Board, Mysore.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for General Secretary to Government.

B.A.M.2

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to..... .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 30/1/36

Signed by M.N.R.G.R.I

List of enclosures.....

Copied by [illegible] 29/1/36.

Examined by..... .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. P 4986

To

The M C

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to the Correspondence ending with your letter no. Ltr. 1105/35-36 dated 2.12.35 re: the Stock certificates nos. 131 and 132 of the Ranjangud Channarajnagar Ry. loan belonging to Sri Yellamma Mandir and to enquire whether any decision regarding has been received from the President Dist Bd. Mysore.

I have etc

for Gen Secy

JSD

27/1

4849 1938

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

No.

Dated Bangalore, the 3rd February ..... 1936 .

4

FROM

~~N. MADHAVA RAU, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,~~
The *Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,*
Bangalore.

To

The President,
District Board,
MYSORE.

SIR,

Referring to your letter No.S.307/L.B.[34-35] dated 25th July 1935 re: the payment of Stock Certificates Nos.131 and 132 of the Nanjangud-Chamarajnagar Railway Loan belonging to the Sri Yethiraja Mutt and subsequent communications, I have the honor to request to be informed whether the matter was placed before the Board as promised by you and, if so, with what result. The favour of an i m m e d i a t e reply is requested as reminders are being received from Government frequently.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Sd/ T. RAMAIYA,
For Muzrai Commissioner.

HC 120—34-5 1000.
AJ 4-2-36

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to Government, Development Department, with reference to his letter No.P.4986 dated 30th January 1936.

For Muzrai Commissio....

Sub? for perusal
Issue reply is awaited
JSD 8/2.
8/2
Sub A 11/2

F

5176/38

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

No. 787/35-38
Enc.

Dated 14-2-1936.
17

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore

To

The General Secretary to the
Government of Mysore. Bangalore

SIR,

Subject:— O.S. No. 17/34-35 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore (The Government —vs— M.T. Narayana Iyengar & others)

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to the 11th March 1936 as the Court was engaged in Sessions at Tumkur

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Narayana Rao
Public Prosecutor.

WD 0205—GPB—1,000—17-8-33

S 833 / 935

F

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

No. 950
Enc. 35-36

Dated 17th March *193* 6.

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore

To

GENERAL SECTION
19 MAR 1936

The General Secretary to the Government
Bangalore

SIR,

Subject:— O.S. no 17/34-35 on the file of the District judge, Bangalore. (Mr. M.T. narayana Iyengar -vs- the Mysore Commissioner)

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned o... the 18th May 1936 ... as he ... Court was engaged in Sessions at Tumkur

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

VD 0205—GPB—1,000—17-8-33

Mr. B.R

6124(a)

M C 13.]
No. HC 120
34-35.

Exec. 1

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 26th March *1936.*



FROM K. V. ANANTARAMAN, Esq., B.A.,
~~N. MADHAVA RAO, Esq., B.A., B.L.,~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

SIR,

Stock Certificate Nos.151 and 152 of the
Nanjangud-Chamarajnagar Railway Loan
belonging to Sri Yathiraja Mutt
at Melkote.

1/4/36

In continuation of this office Memo No.H.C.120/34-35 dated 4th February 1936 re: the above, I have the honor to forward herewith for favour of information a copy of a further letter No.C.907 L.B.34-35 dated 18th February 1936 received from the President, District Board, Mysore.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
M. N. Anantaraman
for Muzrai Commissioner.

Submitted for perusal.
Further report will be awaited
pool 6/4
6/4
7/4

Letter No.C.907 L.B.34-35 dated 18-2-36 from the President, District Board, Mysore, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.J.C.120/34-35 dated 4-2-1936 re. the Stock Certificates of Nanjangud-Chamarajnagar Railway Loan relating to Yethiraja Mutt, I have the honor to state that the matter will again be placed before the District Board at their next meeting and their resolution intimated to you after the meeting is over.

"COPY".

M. N. Srinivasa

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to..... D.O No. P 8255.

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Stock certificates Nos 131 and 132 of the Ranjangud - Chamarajnagar Railway Loan belonging to Sri Jeevaraj Mutt at Melkote.

Date of issue..... 13.6.36

Signed by ..... M. A. R. J.

Dear Sir

I am desired to invite reference to the Correspondence ending with your letter no. Ltr no 2255 dated 29th March 1936 and to his office subsequent reminder no. S. 7488 dated 13th May 1936 on the above subject, and to enquire whether any departmental reply has been received from the Dist. Bd. Mysore.

As the matter has been

List of enclosures.....

Copied by 13/6

Examined by.....

pending for a long time, official
repts in the matter may kindly be
sent for orders of Govt at a very
early date

Yours sincerely

To

KV Ananaraman Esqr
Mun. Commr

F

7561/35

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

No. 1278/35-36.

Enc.

Dated 10-6-1936.

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore

To

The General Secretary to Govt.
Bangalore

SIR,

Subject :— O.S. No. 17/34-35 on the file of the District Judge Bangalore (Government — Vs. Mr. M.T. Narayana Iyengar & others)

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to the 2nd July 1936 for written statement ~~the~~ of 3 (a and b) and 4 (a and b) defendants. 1 and 2 have filed their statement on 8/6/36

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

WD 0205—GPB—1,000—17-8-33

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 19th June 1936

No. P 8486

22

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject:- O.S. 17/34-35 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore.
(Government Vs. Mr.M.T. Narayana Iyengar & others)

With reference to your letter No. 1278/35-36 dated 10th June 1936 intimating that the cabove case stands posted to 2nd July 1936 for written statements of defendants 3 and 4 in the above case. I am directed to request that copies of the plaint, the written statements and further pleadings, if any, filed may be kindly sent for information of Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your mostobedient servant,

for General Secretary to Government.

Als.2.

ppendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Law

File No. 112-34

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. P 8486/—

To The Secy. B'lore

O.S. 17/3455 on the file of the Dt. Judge. B'lore

(Govt. vs M. M. C. management and ors)

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 22/6/36

Signed by for Secy.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter no. 1278/35-36 dt. 10.6.36 intimating that the above case stands posted to 2nd July 1936 for written statements of defdts 3 and 4 in the above case. I am directed to request that copies of the petition and the written statements filed may kindly be sent for information of Govt.

I have etc

for Secy

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

17/6

17/6

No. 1368.
— 35 — 34

Enc. .....

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

(Camp Tumkur) *Bangalore,* 25th June *193*6.

FROM

G.S. Doraswamy Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

To

The General Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

GENERAL BRANCH
27 JUN 1936

SIR,

O.S.No.17/34-35 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore. (Sri Yethiraja Mutt Case.)

With reference to your letter No.P.8486 dated the 22nd instant, I have the honour to state that the copies required will be prepared and sent early.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

SECRETARIAT 1097

Read:—

Government Order No.1817-19/Muz. 65-31-5 dated 6/7th June 1933 directing that the management of the properties of the Sri Yathiraja Mutt at Melkote be taken over by Government under Section 25 of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation VII of 1927 for a period of 3 years in the first instance.

2. Letter dated 25th February 1935 from the Swamiglu of the Sri Yathiraja Mutt at Melkote requesting that the management of the Mutt properties by Government which ceases in a couple of months more may be continued for some time longer.

3. Un-official Note No.295/31-32 dated 11th March 1935 by the Muzrai Commissioner stating that for reasons mentioned therein, the management of the Mutt properties by Government may be continued for a further period of three years.

Order No. 1259-61/Muz 188-34-2

Dated, Bangalore, 20th March 1935.

The recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner is approved.

K.V. Krishnamurthy Jr.

for Chief Secretary to Government.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

The Comptroller to Government,

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

Copy to Law Section.

To,

Muz. Br. to kindly send a Copy of the G.O. to the Blue (vide) who is Conducting the Civil suit in the Mutt.

for Secretary to Government.

M C 13.]
No. 120 34-35
Elec. 2

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 2nd July *1936.*

3

Law 112-34-3

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

M.Venkatesa Iyengar Esqr., L.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

GENERAL BRANCH
-4 JULY 1936
BANGALORE

SIR,

Stock certificates Nos.131 and 132 of the Nanjangud-Chamaraj nagar Railway loan belonging to Sri Yethiraja Latha.

--o--

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. P.8255 dated 13th June 1936 re: the above , I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No,C907/34-35 dated 19/22nd June 1936 together with a copy of its enclosure received from the President of the District Board, Mysore stating that the Board have resolved that they may be impleaded as a defendant.

2. In view of the legal opinion communicated in your letter No. 1001/Luz 3129-34 dated 12/15th January 1935 and of the Board's resolution, I request to be informed whether the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division, may be instructed to include the District Board as a defendant in O.S.No.17 of 34-35 on the file of the District Judge Bangalore, and get a declaration in respect of the Stock Certificates in question.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servt.

K V Anantaraman

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No. C.907/34-35 dated 1?/22nd June 1936 from the President District Board Mysore, to the Luzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

--o--

~~Sir~~,

With reference to correspondence ending with your memo no. H.C. 120 dated 27th March 1936 re: Stock Certificates Nos.131 and 132 of Nanjangud-Chamarajanagar Railway loan relating to Yethiraj Lutt, I have the honor to state that the District Board at their meeting held on 22-4-1936 have resolved that the Luzrai Commissioner's Suggestion that the Board be impleaded as a defendant be approved.

2. A copy of the resolution is enclosed.

" Copy"

M.N. Ramaswamy.

For Luzrai Commissioner.

District Board President's Office,

Mysore District Mysore.

Extract from the proceedings of the District Board Meeting Held on 22/23-4-1936.

XXX XXX XXX

62. Letter No. 295/31-32 dated 22/23-1-1936 and H.C. 120/34-35 dated 11-7-1935 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore re: the payment of Nanjangud-Chamarajanager Railway Loan Stock certificates belonging to the Yethiraj Mutt(vide resolution No.51 dated 31-8-1935). District Board Resolution:-

The Muzrai Commissioner's suggestion that the Board be impleaded as a defendant be approved.

XXX XXX XXX

Sd/ B.S.Puttaswami

President

District Board of Mysore.

Copy

M. N. Anantalwar.

for Muzrai Commissioner 4.7.36

360 p 238

F

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.
Dated 13-7- *1936.*

No. 62
Enc. 36-37

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore *Division,*
Bangalore

To.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
15 JULY 1936

The General Secretary to Govt.
Bangalore

SIR,

Subject:— O.S. No. 17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge Bangalore (Yellirajamma case)

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to the 20th July 1936 for filing additional written statement in Court by the defendants

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K Doraswamy Ay
Public Prosecutor.

WD 0205—GPB—1,000—17-8-33

F

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

NO. 2
36-37
Enc.

Dated 2-7- *1936.*

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore



To The General Secretary to Govt.
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject :— O.S. No. 17/34-35 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore. (The Mysore Commissioner vs- Yellirayya and others)

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to the 7th instant for framing issues. ~~as the~~

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

WD 0205—GPB—1,000—17-8-33

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 21-7-36

Signed by Sec. to R. J.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

Section Law

File No. 112-34

Serial No. 4

No. P 573/Sec 2.

To

The Dr. Bangalore

Os. 17/xxxx on the file of the Dist. Judge, Bangalore

(Govt. vs M. Narayana Gowda & others)

Sir,

With reference to the Correspondence ending with your letter no. 1368/35336 dt 25-6-36, I am directed to forward herewith a Copy of the letter no HE. 120/Sec 35 dt 3-7-36 together with its enclosure received from the Hon. Commr. and to state that in the circumstances pointed out, the Dy. Bd. Mysore is a necessary party and may be impleaded as a defendant in the

above case. I am directed to request you to file an application in the Court accordingly.

I have etc

Asst Secretary to the Govt

No-P-574-8/21.7.36. 15/7

Copy to the Munc. Comm with reference to his letter no. nothing

Sales

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P 573 Law 112-36-4
Sec 2

Dated, Bangalore, 21st July 1936.

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore.

Sir,

O.S.17/34-35 on the file of the District Judge Bangalore.

(Govt. VS- [illegible] Narayana Iyengar & others).

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.1368/35-36 dated 25th June 1936, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the letter No.H.C.120/34-35 dated 3rd July 1936 together with its enclosure received from the Muzrai Commissioner and to state that in the circumstances pointed out, the District Board, Mysore is a necessary party and may be impleaded as a defendant in the above case. I am therefore to request you to file an application in the Court accordingly.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd. M. Venkatesa Iyengar,

General Secretary to Government.

Memo No. P 574.

Dated, Bangalore, 21st July 1936.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner with reference to his letter No.120/34-35 dated 3rd July 1936.

By Order,

For General Secretary to Government.

21/7/36

730 p 736

F

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

No. 187/36-37.

Enc.

Dated 28-7 *1936*.

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore *Division,*
Bangalore

To

The General Secretary to the
30 JULY 1936 Govt of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject:— Re Cs. 17 of 34-35 on the file of Addl district judge, Bangalore
Govt vs. Messrs M. T. Narayana Iyengar & others.

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to 6-8-36 for Arguements on Serial as the application. Re: calling for particulars from the plaintiff

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

19
Noted
Subd. for perusal
28/7
2nd
Sys 4.8.36

WD 0205—GPB—1,000—17-8-33

No. 279/36-37

Enc. .....

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,

DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Bangalore, ...10th August.....*193* 6

FROM G.S.Doraswamy Iyer, Esq.,B.A.,B.L.,

Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

To

The General Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

O.S.No.17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (Government -VS- M.T. Narayana Iyengar and others.)

With reference to your letter No.P.573 Law II2-34-4 dated the 21st July 1936, I have the honour to state that the necessary application will be prepared and sent for approval of Government early.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G Doraswamy Iyer
Public Prosecutor.

F 1129/36

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

No. 307/36-37

Enc.

Dated 17-8-1936

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore

19 AUG. 1936

To

The General Secretary to Govt.
Bangalore

SIR,

Subject :— O.S. 17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (Government — M.T. Narayana Iyengar & others,

I have the honor to state that the above case has been ~~adjourned~~ reserved for orders regarding the application filed by the defendants asking for certain particulars to be furnished by the plaintiff.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

for perusal.

1205—GPB—1,000—17-8-33

Sir C
24-8-36

— 11/936

No. 476/36-37.

Enc. .....

OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,

DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Bangalore, 9th September *193* 6.

Law 11/2-34-S

FROM

G.S. Subramanya Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

To

The General Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

RE:O.S.No.17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (Government -VS- M.T. Narayana Iyengar and others.)

10 SEP. 1936

I have the honour to invite your kind attention to my letter 279/36-37 dated the 10th August 1936 and to state in continuation thereof that at the time of filing the plaint into court, the District Board, Mysore was paying the interest on the two bonds to the Muzrai Commissioner and so we were under the impression that they had recognised our title to them. Now they hesitate to pay the amounts as the certificates are not with us but with the trustees. Under the circumstances an application will be filed to implead the District Board, Mysore as a defendant. Court fees on the amount due will have to be paid on the amount claimed. I request that arrangements be made to have the amount in readiness to be deposited into court as soon as the application is allowed. The estimates of costs and fees may amount to Rs.150/-.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. Subramanya Iyer
Public Prosecutor.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Law

File No. 112-34

Serial No. —

No. PL935

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

To The P. R. B'lore

D.S. 17/34-35 on the file of the Addl. Dist. J: B'lore (Govt. Vs M. T. Narayana Iyengar & others.)

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Sir, With reference to your letter

~~With I am directed to~~ I am to invite attention to your kind reference to your letter No. 279/36-37, Dt 10th Aug. 36 ~~on the above~~ ~~it was~~ you have stated that you wanted to prepare the application ~~to be prepared~~ and to enquire when it could be referred to. I am to ~~and sent for approval of~~ request that along with this Govt. Along with the application ~~you are~~ Requested to send a detailed estimate of Costs necessary to be incurred

Date of issue..... 17.9.36

No. 476 dated 9 Sept. 1936

Signed by Mr. N.R.J.R.

~~in the above matter~~ I ~~retired~~ stating that you would file an appn in the above case to implead the D.C.S, Mysore as a defendant and that ~~requesting~~ the amount required for ct fee etc may be deposited ~~to be deposited~~ in ready for being deposited in Court as soon as the appn is allowed,

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

~~may be granted accordingly~~

Examined by.....

~~at an early date~~ in this behalf

I have etc. may also be sent

for obtaining orders

thereon.

Yours

Dec 1579

1929 93.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,

DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Bangalore, ...23rd September.....*193*

Law 112-34—6

FROM

G.S. Doraswamy Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

To

The General Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

GENERAL SECTION
25 SEP. 1936

SIR,

O.S.No.17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (Government -Vs- M.T. Narayana Iyengar and others.)

With reference to your letter No.[illegible] Law 112-34 dated the 17th instant, I have the honour to state that an application has been filed in court today with an affidavit to implead the President, District Board, Mysore as a defendant. A copy of the application together with an affidavit is sent herewith for information of Government. A sum of Rs.105-12-0 will be required towards court fee and other expenses, the details of which are furnished below.

Court fee.	Rs.100-0-0.
Application.	0-12-0
Notices (say)	5-0-0.
	Rs.105-12-0

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Doraswamy

Public Prosecutor.

IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE AT BANGALORE.

O.S.No.I7/34-35.

The Government of Mysore by the Muzrai Commissioner, Bangalore. — plaintiff.

- VS -

M.T. Narayana Iyengar and others. — Defendants.

Under Order I Rule IO and VI Rule I7 of the Code of Civil procedure the plaintiff begs to state as follows:-

For the reasons contained in the annexed affidavit the plaintiff petitioner prays that the Mysore District Board be also impleaded as a defendant in this case and a relief asked for against them in respect of Stock certificate Nos. I3I and I32 of the Nanjangood chamarajanagar Railway loan due to the plaintiff be granted to the plaintiff be permitted to amend the plaint accordingly

Bangalore
23-9-1936.

Advocate for plaintiff.

IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE AT BANGALORE.

O.S.No.17/34-35.

The Government of Mysore by the Huzrai Commissioner, Bangalore. | Plaintiff.

-VS-

H.T. Narayana Iyengar and others. | Defendants.

Affidavit.

I, K. Hanumantha Rao aged about 32 years, son of K. Rama Rao do hereby solemnly affirm and state as follows:-

I am the clerk of the Office of the Public prosecutor, Bangalore Division.

2. I am acquainted with the facts of this case.

3. In this case the plaintiff claims to get certain sums from the Mysore District Board.

4. The said District Board was not impleaded as a defendant when the suit was filed as they were paying interest to the plaintiff.

5. Now the District Board wants to have decision of a court regarding the amounts in respect of stock certificates Nos. 131 and 132 of the

the Nanjangood Chamarajanagar Railway
am due to the plaintiff.

6. To avoid all further litigation it is
ot and necessary to add the District Board Mysore
a defendant and also to ~~xxxxxxx~~ amend the
aint suitably.

Bangalore |
23-9-1936.|

2583

No 801

Enc. 38-37

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Bangalore, 21st October *193* 6

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
24 OCT. 1936

FROM

Doraswamy Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

To

The General Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore. Bangalore.

SIR,

Re: O.S.No.17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. Yethiraja Mutt case.

I have the honour to state that orders were pronounced that the President, District Board, Mysore be impleaded as defendant and the case has been adjourned to 2-II-1936 for return of notice to the President, District Board, Mysore.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Doraswamy Iyer
Public Prosecutor.

Public Information

Your most obt. Servt.,
Mrs.

I have the honour to be,

Yesterday, 2nd inst. Office.
Motion: Mr. [illegible] be the site of the
Jefferson Memorial Bridge, Washington.

The Council resolved by the yeas and nays on the
following motion: Mr. [illegible] be the site of the Jefferson Memorial Bridge, Washington, D.C. be
referred to the Committee on the Library, Mississippi Board, Above,
yet the Treasury, Secretary Board, Plato, be transferred to
I have the honour to state that Senate New Hampshire

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary. No of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 30-11-36 193 .

Signed by Mr. DKR

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section Law

File No. 112-34

Serial No. 7

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read— Letter no 563/36-37, dated 23rd Sept'r '36 from the P.F B'lore forwarding a copy of application filed in Court to implead the President, Dist. Board, Mysore as a defendant in O.S. # 17/34-35 ~~Order thereon. No.~~ on the file of the Dist. Judge, B'lore (Govt Vs N. T. Narayana Iyengar & others.), and stating that a sum of Rs 105-12 will be required towards Court fee and other expenses

Order.

2. No. note on file from the Govt-Counsel in Mysore in the matter.

Sanction is accorded to the placing of a Sum of Rs 10.5.12-0 being placed at the disposal of the P. Pr, the Cost has to be met from the funds of the Mun. If there are insufficient funds available immediately an advance may be made from the Gen: Mun: Fund.

7/11

for Genl Secy.

To

(1) The Mun: Commr

(2) The P. Pr, B Kere

(3) The Comptr to Govt.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Letter No.563/36-37 dated 23rd September 1936 from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore forwarding a copy of the application filed in Court to implead the President, District Board, Mysore as a defendant in O.S.17/34-35 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore (Government VS.M.T.Narayana Iyengar and others) and stating that a sum of Rs.105-12-0 will be required towards Court fee and other expenses.

2. U.O.Note No. ~~12~~ H.C.120/34-5 dated 27th November 1936 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore in the matter.

Order No. P3564-6 Law 112-34-7

Dated, Bangalore, the 29th November 1936.
30

Sanction is accorded to a sum of Rs.105-12-0 being placed at the disposal of the Public Prosecutor, the cost being met out of the funds of the Mutt. If there are not sufficient funds available immediately, an advance may be made from the General Muzrai Fund to be adjusted later on in due course.

B.K.Ramakrishnaiya

For General Secretary to Government.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

The Public Prosecutor, Bangalore.

The Comptroller to Government.

NO. 1911/36-37.

Enc

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore Division,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.
Dated April *1937.*

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore *Division,*
Bangalore.

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject:— O.S. 12/1936-37 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore (Yallaraja Mudaliar vs M.T. Narayanaswamy)

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to 17th May 1937 for arguments & evidence as the.....

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

WD 02100—900—27-2-36

No. 18916/36-3

Enc.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.
ated. 30th March 193 7

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore

GENERAL SEC.
31 MAR. 1937

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore

SIR,

Subject:— G.S. 17 of 1936-37 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore.

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to 1/4/37.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

14
Noted
Subd for perusal
BKR
1.4

Sec C
1-4-37

WD 02100—900—27.2.36

No. 837/36-37

Enc.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.
Dated 17th March 1937.

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore.

GENERAL 18 MAR. 1937

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore.
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject:— OS. 12/34-35 on the file of the Addl. District Judge, Bangalore (Govt. of Mysore Vs. M. T. Narayana Iyengar).

I have the honor to state that in the above case ~~has been adjourned to~~ the Swami was examined again on 14-3-37 on ~~as the~~ Commission ~~is closed~~ by me.

Submitted

19/3

19.3

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

WD 02100—900—27-2-36

NO. 1799-100/367

Office of the Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore, dated 11th March 1937.

12

From

G.S. Doraswamy Iyer, Esq.,B.A.,B.L.,
Public Prosecutor, Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY
13 MAR 1937

To

The General Secretary to the Government of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

RE: Sri Yethirajaswami Mutt Case.

I have the honour to state that in this case the Swami was examined in Chief on commission on the 7th instant by me and the manager of the Muzrai Commissioner's Office on the 10th instant. The case is posted for the cross examination of the swami on the 14th instant and the case itself will proceed from the 25th instant.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G.S. Doraswamy Iyer

Public Prosecutor.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
927.
27 MAR. 1937

5576 P 936
27/3/37.

Office of the Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.
dated 25th March, 1937.

GENERAL SECRETARY
25 MAR. 1937

From.

S. Doraswami Iyer, Esqr, B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division
Bangalore.

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of H.H.The Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

Additional District Court, Bangalore.

O.S.No. 17 of 1934- 35.

Sri Yethiraj Mutt.

I have the honour to enclose herewith a copy of my letter to the Muzrai Commissioner, Bangalore , and I request that the papers of the Government relating to the same. matter may kindly be sent to me for perusal as they are wanted urgently.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S Doraswami Ir

Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

This will be sent to Muzr Br if applo, for putting up the file required by the Pub Pror

(2) Pro 27.3.37

27/3/37 To the Muzr Br.

The regd Correspn may kindly be had from Genl. Records

Returned to Law Sec.

193 GS 27.3.37.
27/3/37

Office of the Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore,
Dated 25th March, 1937.

From.

G. S. Doraswami Iyer, Esq, B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Re. O.S.No.17 of 1934-35.
Sri Yethiraj Mutt
S u i t.

I have the honour to request the papers connected with the extension of the period of management of the institution for a further period of three years be kindly sent to me to be filed intorCourt with the Manager of the Office.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
BANGALORE.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Bangalore. Dated. ~~30th~~ March 1937.

No. P 5801
Issue one file.

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

Sir,

O.S. No. 17 of 1934/35 on the file of the Additional District Judge of Bangalore - Sri Yethiraj Mutt.

With reference to your letter No. 1862 of 1936-37 dated the 25th March 1937 forwarding a copy of your letter to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore and requesting papers ~~in~~ connection with the continuance of the management of the above institution, I am directed to forward herewith Muzrai File No. 188-34 Sls. 1-2 for your reference and return.

I have the honor to be,
S i r ,
Your most obedient servant,

General Secretary to Government.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Law

File No.

Serial No.

No. P 5801/Requisition file.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

To the P. Pr., B'lore

O.S. 17/34-35 on the file of the Addl Dist Judge, B'lore —

Sri Yethiraj Mudt.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Sir,

Date of issue 31.3.37

With reference to your letter no 1862/36-37, dated 25th March 1937 ~~Requesting~~ forwarding a copy of your letter to the Muz: Commr and Requesting certain papers ~~for~~ in connection with the continuance of the above management of the above institution, I am directed to forward herewith Muz: File No 188-34 Sls 1-2 for your reference and return

Signed by Mr BKR.

I have etc

BKR

for Jt Secy

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

WD 0521—GPB—30,000—12-3-37

3330 of 36

No. 1064/36-37.

Enc.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
....Bangalore.... DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

Dated.....2.....12.....1936.

FROM

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 3-DEC. 1936

The Public Prosecutor,
.....*Bangalore Division,*
.....*Bangalore*

To

The General Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore,
Bangalore

SIR,

Subject:— Re: OS. 17/34-35 on the file of
Addl district court, Bangalore.
Municipal Commissioner vs. Messrs. M.T. Narayana
Iyengar & others.

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to 23-12-1936 for issue of summons as to the District Board Mysore

19
Noted
Subd for perusal

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

WD 02100—900—27.2.3°

37552

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

No 294/36-37.

Enc.

Dated 23—12—1936.

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY. 28 DEC. 1936

TO

The Hon'ble
The General Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject:— Re: Cs. 17 of 34—35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore (Govt vs. Messrs. M.T. Narayana Iyengar & Co.)

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to 4—1—1937 for filing draft issues.

~~the~~

19
Noted
Subd for perusal.

2/1

DHR
2.1.37

WD 02100—900 27.2.36

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

Sec C
2-1-37

4593/36

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

No. 1524-5/36-)

Enc.

Dated 5th February 1937.
6

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Ban

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY.
8 FEB. 1937

SIR,

Subject:— OS 17/34-35 on the file of the Addl. District Judge, Bangalore (The Govt. of Mysore by the Mukhtar Commissioner Vs. Messrs. M.T. Narayana Iyengar & others).

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to 8th February 1937 for arguments as

.....

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

19
Noted
Submd for perusal.
9.2.37

9/2

WD 02100—900—27.2.36

JS 179 p 36

No. 1741-42/36-3,

Enc.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.
Dated 3rd March *1937.*

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 5 MAR 1937

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject:— OS. 17/34-35 on the file of the Addl. District Judge, Bangalore.

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to 9th March 1937 for evidence as the

19
Noted
Submitted for perusal:
10/3
10.3

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

WD 02100—900—27.2.36

5959/36

Office of the Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore,
dated 14th April, 1937.

No. 1968/36-37

From :-

G. S. Doraswami Iyer, Esqr, B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
BANGALORE.

To

M. Venkateshaiengar, Esqr, M.A.,
General Secretary to Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

GENERAL SECRETARY. 16 APR. 1937

Sir,

Re :-O. S.No. 17 of 1934-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore.

I have the honour to acknowledge, receint of your Letter No. P. 5801/Dated 31st March 1937, and to state that the Government order for continuing management of the Institution and the letter of the Swami has been filed into Court. The case is adjourned to 17th May 1937. The records will be returned after the case is disposed of.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G. S. Doraswamy Iyer

Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore.

1562/36
P

No. 20467/36-37

Enc.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

Dated 19th May 1937

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore

SIR,

Subject:— C.S. 17/34-35 on the file of the Addl' District Judge, Bangalore.

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to 24th May 1937

the

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Doorswamy
Public Prosecutor.

GENERAL SECRETARY
20 MAY 1937

WD 02100—900—27.2.36

19 Noted Subd for perusal.
21.5

NO. 2073-4/36-37

Office of the Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore, dated 22nd May 1937.

From

G.S. Doraswamy Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor, Bangalore.

GENE... 24 MAY 1937 PNO

To

The General Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

O.S.No.17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (The Govt. of Mysore by the Muzrai Commissioner -VS- M.T. Narayana Iyengar and others.)

I have the honour to state that in this case the Swami of the Yethiraja Mutt was examined on commission. The documents on both sides were exhibited in Court. One of the witnesses for the defendant was also partly examined and at that stage the defendant's advocates have put forward a proposal for a compromise. They suggest that the properties of the Mutt be managed by a Committee consisting-say of five persons of the community three to be nominated by the present swamigalu and two by Government.

If this proposal is accepted by Government they are willing to hand over all the properties of the Mutt to the Committee and have the suit compromised. I await instructions of Government in the matter.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G S Doraswamy 38

the Swamigalu,

Letter dated 31st May 37 from Sri Yathirajaswami Mutt, Melkote, Mysore District, (Camp Malleswaram, Bangalore) to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

I have your letter No.H.C.120/34-35 dated 26-5-1937 together with the closure being a copy of a letter received from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, communicating the terms under which the defendants in O.S.No.17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore (Government of Mysore by the Muzrai Commissioner Vs. M. T. Narayana Iyengar & others) are proposed to deliver possession of the Mutt properties and requesting my opinion in the matter.

In my letter dated 31-8-1931 to Government, I have clearly explained the circumstances under which I had to request the Government, to manage the Mutt properties. The Government then took the management in their Order No.1817-19/Muz.65-31-8 dated 6/7th June 1932. Notices were issued to the defendants to deliver possession. The defendants failed to do so, and even challenged the right of Government and applied to have the order set aside. But the Government, after a very careful consideration, rejected their application in their order dated 17th May 1934. Even subsequently, the defendants refused to comply with the Government Order and the Government had to file the suit for possession.

It is now more than two years since the suit was filed. The plaintiff have adduced their evidence and closed their case. The defendants have to adduce their evidence and close their case. Under these circumstances, a compromise proposed by the defendants seems to have no meaning. However, the terms now proposed by the defendants have been carefully considered by me, but they do not seem to me to be acceptable. The rights of a Mathadhi pathi under the Hindu Law and usage are well settled and the proposed term would materially restrict such rights. Further, it may be observed that a large portion of the amount now in suit was acquired by me while on tour outside Mysore and sent to the defendants and it is not clear with what grace they could urge that the money earned by me should not be managed by me. Again, it is a question for consideration whether the statutory rights of the Government to manage the Mutt properties may not also be affected by the proposed compromise, as they are the plaintiffs in the suit. As the Government and the Mutt have the unfettered right to possession and management according to law, as the evidence on one side has been closed and as

there can be no doubt about the result of the suit. it seems to me the Government should not accept the compromise proposed.

I, therefore, request that you will give due consideration to all the aspects of the case and see that the suit is not compromised as proposed.

"C C P Y"

N. Chandhuri

Kuzrai Commissioner

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 7th June 1937.

No. P. 6780

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Myso*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

O.S.No.17/34-35 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore - (Government VS M.T.Narayana Iyengar & others).

With reference to your U.O.No.M.C.120/24-35, dated 1st June 1937 in regard to the above case, I am directed to state that the Governme agree that in the circumstances pointed out by you the proposed compromis cannot be accepted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- M.Venkatesa Iyengar,

General Secretary to Government.

Memo No. P 6781.

Dated, Bangalore, 7th June 1937.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Public Prosecutor, Bangal with reference to his letter No.2073-4/36-37 dated 22nd May 1937.

for General Secretary to Governmen

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 7/6/37

Signed by Sd: B K R

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

Section Law

File No. 112 - 34

Serial No. —

No. P 6780

To The Mag: Comm^r Kadur ~~Kadaccatur~~

Bikare

O.S No 17/34-35 on the file of the Dist judge, B'lore (Govt Vs N. T. Narayana Iyengar & others)

Sir,

With Reference to your N.O. No H.C. 120/34-35, dated 1-6-37 in Regard to the above Case, I am directed to State that in the circumstances pointed out by you in your letter dated the proposed Compromise ~~proposed~~ Cannot be accepted.

I have etc

BKR

for Gen: Secy.

WD 0521—GPB—30,000—12-3-37

No P 6781 Copy to P. P^r, B'lore with reference to his letter No 2073-6/34 dated

6677 p83

No. 2107/36-37
Enc 1 letter

Office of the Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore. dated 25th May 1937.

From

G.S. Doraswamy Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor, Bangalore.

GENERAL
26 MAY 1937
No P-V

The General Secretary to the Government or H.H. the Maharaja or Mysore, Bangalore.

BKR

O.S.No.17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (Government -VS- -M.T. Narayana Iyengar and others.)

With reference to your letter No.P.6589 of the 25th instant, I have the honour to send herewith the copies of pleadings in the above case for your reference and return. The case is posted to the 8th June for ~~evidence.~~ reporting Compromise if any.

Submitted.
The connected file has been forwarded to the Hon'ble Comm'r on 28-5-37 for favour of opinion on the proposed Compromise. In the meanwhile the P.P., B'lore was requested to send copies of Pleadings in the Case. He has sent the same along with this letter. The same is submitted for perusal.
The Case has been adjourned to 7-6-37 (Vide Flag B.)

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Doraswamy Iyer
Public Prosecutor.

28/5
TYPING
P Pr
please retain copies of the pleadings & return papers to us
BKR 28.5
Typing Sec: to Kindly make copies of the papers sent with this letter.
28/5
P.T.O.

re - submitted in person and
was instructed that copies
need not be made

Appendix F G—1]

Immediate

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Law

File No. 112-34

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 6677/P. 37.

No. 6920.

To The P. P., B'lore

D. S. 14/34-35 on the file of the Dist. judge, B'lore

(Govt Vs M. T. Narayana Iyengar)

Sir,

In Continuation of this office letter no P. 6781, dated 7-6-37 in regard to the above Case, I am directed to return herewith the records received with your letter no 2103/36-37 dated 25th May '37

I have &c

BKR

for Gen'l Secy

SB

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 10-6-37

Signed by B. K. R.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

WD 0521—GPB—30,000—12-3-37

IMMEDIATE.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 10th June 1937.

No. P 6931

From

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esquire, M.A.,
General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

K.V. Anantaraman, Esquire, B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Re- O.S. No. 17 of 1934-35 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore, (Government Vs. H.T. Narayana Iyengar and others).

In continuation of this office letter No. P. 6780, dated the 7th June 1937 in regard to the above case, I am directed to state that the sixth defendant in the above case is reported to be dead and to request you to furnish direct to the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, the names and addresses of the legal representatives of the sixth defendant, as they have to be brought on record. The matter may kindly be treated as urgent as the case is posted to 14th June 1937 for hearing.

I have the honor to be,
S i r ,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- M. Venkatesa Iyengar,

General Secretary to Government.

P.H.

Memo. No P 6932

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division for information.

By order,

D Ramakrishnaiya

Appendix F G—1]

Immediate

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Law

File No. 112 – 34

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. P 6931.

To

The Hon'ble Comm'r

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Re: O. S. No 17/34-35 on the file of the Dist. Judge, Bellary. (Govt. Vs M. T. Narayana Iyengar & others)

Date of issue 10/6/37

Signed by Mr. D.K.N.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter no. P. 6780, dated 7th June 1937 in regard to the above case, I am directed to state that the 6th defendant in the above case is reported to be dead ~~whose legal Representa have to be brought on Record~~ and to request you to furnish direct to the P. P. Bellary the names and addresses of the legal representatives of the 6th defendant, as they have ~~brought~~ to be brought on record. The matter may kindly

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

be treated as urgent as the case ~~has~~ is posted to 14-6-37 for hearing.

I have etc:

PBKR

for Genl Secy.

MoP. 6932.

Copy to P. Pr B'lore.

No. 1998-9/36-2,

Enc.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

Dated 7. 6. *1937.*

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore cty.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 8 JUNE 1937

To

The Genl Secretary
Govt of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
Bangalore

SIR,

Subject:— O.S. 17/34-35

Govt of Mysore vs M. D. Narasinga Iyengar & ors

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to 14. 6. 37 to report compromise if any & to bring the LRs of the 6th deft on record, as he has since been reported dead. The legal Representatives' names & addresses may kindly be furnished early.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D Doraswamy Iyer
Public Prosecutor.

WD 02100—900—27.2.36

7329
p 636

M C 13.] No. Mc.120 Enc 1.
8Lc-35

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,.....26th June.....*1937*.
28

Law/12-34-8

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

M....Venkatesa...Iyengar,...Esq.,...M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
.....General.....*Department.*

GENERAL SECRETARY.
29 JUNE 1937

SIR

9/29/6/

O.S.No.17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (The Muzrai Commissioner Vs. M. T. Narayana Iyengar and others)

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No.P.6780/Law 112-34 dated 7th June 1937 re. the above, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No.2127/36 dated 28th May 1937 from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division, requesting sanction to a sum of Rs75 for payment to the Commissioner who examined the Swamigalu on commission. As there is not sufficient cash balance at the credit of the Mutt and as the Court's order has to be obeyed, I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to advance a further sum of Rs75 from the closing balance of the General Muzrai Fund, subject to recoupment on the conclusion of the suit.

I have the honor to be.

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. V. Ananta Raman

Muzrai Commissioner,

Letter No.2127/36-37 dated 28th May 37 from the Public Prosecutor, Bangal
the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Re. O.S.No.17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangal
(Govt. Vs. M. T. Narayana Iyengar and others.)

I have the honor to state that a sum of Rs75 has to be paid to the Commis
sioner as per orders of the Court. I request that the amount may kindly be se
at your early convenience. I enclose herewith the copy of the order of the Ad
tional District Judge, Bangalore.

"COPY"

M. N. Ramachar

For Muzrai Commissioner.

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT 27/7/37

.....

.....

Diary. No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 27-7-37 ...193).

Signed by Dr. BKR

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

Section Laws

File No. 112-34

Serial No. 9

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read— Letter no. He 120 & 34-35, dated 26th - 28th June '37 from the Mysr. Commr, stating that a sum of Rs 757- has to be paid to the Commissioner who examined the Swamigalu on Commission in O.S. No. ~~Order thereon—No.~~ 17/34-35 on the file of the Addl Dist Judge, B'lore (Mysr. Commr vs K.T. Narayana Iyengar & others.), and soliciting ~~sanction of Govt~~, in the circumstances pointed out therein, sanction to advance Rs 757- from

the closing balance of the General Mujrai Fund, subject to recoupment on the conclu of the suit.

Order P529-30

To

(1) The Mujrai Commr.

(2) The Comptr & Govt

List of Enclosure

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read -

Letter No.H.C.120 of 34-35, dated 26th-28th June 1937 from the Muzrai Commissioner, stating that a sum of Rs.75/- has to be paid to the Commissioner who examined the Swamigalu on commission in O.S.No.17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore (Muzrai Commissioner VS M.T.Narayana Iyengar and others), and soliciting in the circumstances pointed out therein, sanction to advance Rs.75/- from the closing balance of the General Muzrai Fund, subject to recoupment on the conclusion of the suit.

O r d e r No. P529-30 Law 112-34-9

Dated, Bangalore, 27th July 1937.

S A N C T I O N E D.

B K Ramakrishnaiya

General Secretary to Government.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

The Comptroller to Government.

4903/P637

M. C. 18]
No. HC120/34-35
Encl 2

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 16th February *1938.*

Law 112-34-10

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

GENERAL SECRETARY.
18 FEB. 1938

TO

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

O.S.No.17/34-35 on the file of the District Judge, B---
(Government Vs. M. T. Narayana Iyengar and others.)

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.P.6780/Law 112-34 dated 7th June 1937, stating that the compromise proposed by the defendants cannot be accepted etc., I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No.1561/37-38 dated 27-1-1938, received from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, forwarding the fresh terms of compromise offered by the defendants; and soliciting instructions in the matter. From the proposals now submitted, it is seen that the defendants are willing to unconditionally hand over all the suit properties to Government, to be invested in any manner they wish and the proceeds therefrom also utilised or spent by them and they request the appointment of some one person or persons by the Muzrai Commissioner, to offer suggestions to him in connection with the affairs of the Mutt. The latter is not made a condition precedant to the handing over of the properties; and may, or may not, be complied with by Government.

2. In the plaint filed before the Court, a request is made that the defendants should hand over possession of all assets belonging to the institution and also make a true list and disclose and furnish an inventory of all assets, as Government are not fully aware of them. In the compromise, now proposed, the defendants are stated to be willing to unconditionally hand over possession of suit schedule properties to

Government. It seems desirable that the defendants should agree to give possession of properties other than suit schedule properties also, if any, or, say definitely, if all the assets belonging to the institution are included in the suit schedule prop[illegible] are no other properties. As regards the request for the appointment of one or more persons to give suggestions to the Muzrai Commissioner, in connection with the affairs of the Mutt, the Religious and Charitable Institutions Act does not contemplate such appointment in respect of a Mutt which has been taken under Government management.

3. The Swamigalu, who was also consulted in the matter, has, in the circumstances stated in his communication dated 7-2-1938 (copy enclosed), opined that the compromise need not be accepted.

4. In the foregoing circumstances, I solicit favour of orders of Government re. the terms of compromise now proposed by the defendants.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. N. Anantaram

For Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from headquarters)

Letter No.1561/37-38 dated 27-1-38 from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division, Bangalore, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Re. O.S.17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (Government Vs. M. T. Narayana Iyengar and others.) - Yethiraja Mutt Suit.

Ref.No.P.C.226/37-38 d/ 21/24-1-38.

I have the honor to invite your kind attention to your letter No. 120 of 34-35 of 7-6-37 and to state that the defendant's counsel has now offered the following terms to settle the matter.

1) The defendants are willing to unconditionally hand over possession of suit schedule properties to the Government to be invested in any manner it may be deemed fit by the Government or the Muzrai Commissioner and the proceeds also utilised or spent by them.

2) They only request that some one person or persons may be appointed or nominated by the Muzrai Commissioner from out of the Mandyam Communit to offer suggestions to him in connection with the affairs of the ~~suit~~ mutt. The said persons will hold office entirely at the pleasure of the Muzrai Commissioner and will be persons who will be nominated or chosen by him.

I request to be informed whether these terms are acceptable to Government and I respectfully solicit instructions in the matter. File No.R.Dis.773/34-35 has been sent to you with this office No.1532/37-38 dated 20-1-38.

"Copy"

M. N. Ramachar.

For Muzrai Commissioner.

శ్రీ మల్లేశ్వరపురంపై కొత్తపేట శ్రీయు —
రాజ శిల్పామిశ్రులవారు.

మీ ప్రభుత్వారు కంచీపట్టణపు పలుపురుషులు కమిషనరుగారి
వద్ద పనిచేయు విధంగా లాంఛనాలు.

శేషన్న ఆఫీసిగ H.C 120/34-35.
పి. పి. 38లో కొంగదపు కలపకు అదర్శాలకొరిగిపోవ
ప్రతివాదిగళ కేసుంటిదేయు విషయాలపై కొన్నియిరి.
ఈ విచారణలో విచారణచేయవలసిన
ఉత్తరవేనంటరే:—

ఈ కలహపకి వేయిదలు కోర్టు ప్రతివాదిగళ
కులవింపు పూర్తి విశేషకలహంపు ఏరపడి
ఉండి బరియాడుపు. వేయిదలనేదుదరల్లి జిల్లాద
పుంతరన్న విసేదుదరల్లి బోర్డుండు విధవాశి —
కేసుంటిరుపుదే విశేష్టి భేదవింశిలుత్తి.
వేయిదలనే కేసుంటిరేయిలు శిల్పిమేంబుగళాల్లిగూ
అదరంకే ఆద శిమోనిసగళిగూ, శిల్పిమేంబుగ
పోషణీయుల్లిరువ భవమనసిద్ధగళాద పుతాంది
భిన్నగళిగూ విరుద్ధవాద బ్రిందు శిరస్తేదారవల్లదన్న
కింవకాశవిల్లదే కోర్టునల్లి శిమోనిసిసిల్లదు
వంతే కుపుంటాగిదే.

ఇదరంతే నజీయుదే ప్రతివాదిగళు శేషన్నకరసోడే
విచారణే యుంగబేకేందు గోకర్ణక్షేత్ర కుమారుల
విశంగళకింత కింవకాశవుండిద్దల్లదే ఈగ
మేయిదలనే కేసుంటిరేయిలుంతే పుట్టిందన్న నిర్ణయిసి
కాల హరణవన్నే మాడిదుమండిరుత్తిరే. ఈకోరి
రువుంతరు మేయిదలనేంబుదరంకియే శిరస్తేదారవల్లదే
శేషన్నదిద్దరిగే.

4. కూల ఈగ కేసుంటిరేయిలు శిల్పిమేంబుగళు
శేషన్న శిష్యరాద పుమనసిద్ధగళిగింత పుతాంది
గళ కొంటల్లనిసిరువ మాల్లనన్న పుట్టిసి
అదరంకే ఆశయిర శిమోనిసగళన్న శిరస్కరించిరుత్తిద్దాగి
శిల్పిమేంబుగళవరు కూడలిసాద తుళుకొంటకవన్నే శేషన్న
రచిసిద్దాగిదే. ఆల్లదే పుమనసిద్ధగళ పుతాంపుకారిగళిగే

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Immediate.

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P 4881 Law 112-34

Dated, Bangalore, 23rd February 1938.

From

General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Sir, Subject.- O.S.No.17/34-35 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore (Government Vs. M.T.Narayana Iyengar and others).

With reference to your letter No.H.C.120/34-35 dated the 16th February 1938 in regard to the above, I am directed to request you to ascertain, as suggested by you, whether the plaint schedule is exhaustive or whether the defendants are in possession of any other properties of the Mutt and would agree to hand over those properties also.

I am to observe that there is one other point which has to be considered before accepting the terms of compromise, and that is about the costs incurred by Government in this litigation. The defendants' proposals in the matter of meeting the costs incurred by Government may be called for and intimated to Government.

An early reply is requested.

I have thehonour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

for General Secretary to Government.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Law

File No. 112-34

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. f 4881.

To

The Mag: Commr

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Subject:- O. S. No 17/34-35 on the file of the Dist judge, Bellary (Govt. vs M. T. Narayana Iyengar and others)

Date of issue.....23.2.35.....

Sir,

Signed by .....Mr MKR.....

With reference to your letter no. Misc 120/34-35, dated 16th Feb: 1938 in regard to the above, I am directed to request you to ascertain, as suggested by you, whether the Plaint Schedule is exhaustive or whether the defendants are in possession of any other properties of the Mutt and would agree to hand over those properties also.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

I am to observe that there is one other point which has to be considered

Examined by.....

of
mpromise, and that is about meeting
incurred by Govt in this litigation.
Costs of litigation. It has also
be
ascertained from the defendants' proposals
with matter of meeting
etter they are prepared to
Costs incurred by Govt. may be called for
in time after Govt
An early reply is
quested.

I have etc

ROYR

for Genl Secy/

1747/37-38.

4903 V 5113 p 37

Office of the Public Prosecutor,

Bangalore Division,

Dated 1st March 1938

From

C.S.Doraswamy Iyer, Esq.,B.A.,B.L.,

Public Prosecutor,

Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY.
2 MAR. 1938

To

The General Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

Re:- O.S. 17 of 34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. The Muzrai Commissioner. Vs. M.T.Narayana Iyengar, Esq., and others)

I have the honour to state that the above case stands adjourned to 10-3-1938 for evidence. or Compromise.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C Doraswamy Iyer.
Public Prosecutor.

Subd. On a reference from the Muzr Commr re the Compromise, we have called for some information (vide fl. 2. in the file). The information has not been received so far. For orders if it is necessary to receive the Muzr Commr

Why this delay in our office? Please put up a draft D.O reminder
BKR 8.3.38

8/3/38

H. D. O. Receipt is put up
BKR 8.3

Reply received 11-4-38 pm.
8/3/38

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 10 · 3 · 38

Signed by Jas: A·S·R· Nos

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

Section

File No. 112-34

Serial No. — 11

No. P - 5095 - /S3

To

The Mur Commr

Subj: O·S·12/34-35 on the file of the Addl Dt Judge, D-Cone (Govt Vs Mr T. Narayana Reddy & Others)

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. Rc. 120/34-5 dated 7-3-1938 - re the proposed Compromise in the above case, I am directed to state that if the defendants agree to reimburse the Govt cost ~~so far~~ incurred, the Compromise may be accepted. The original enclosures to your letter are returned herewith. I have etc

ASR

for Secretary

WD 0521—GPB—30,000—12-3-37

5229
P.

No. MC 120/34-5.
Encl 4.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, ...7th March.....*193* 8.

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
.....General.....*Department.*

GENERAL SECRETARY.
8 MAR 1938

SIR,

O.S.17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore.
(Government Vs. M. T. Narayana Iyengar and others.)

With reference to your letter No.P.4881/Law 112-34 dated 23rd February 1938, calling for certain additional information in connection with the compromise proposed by the defendants in the above case, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No.1757/37-38 dated 2-3-1938 together with its enclosure, in original, received from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, and to state that three properties in the shape of Current and Fixed Deposits, amounting to Rs9,000, are stated to be in the possession of the defendants in addition to the properties included in the plaint and that the defendants are stated to be willing to hand over these properties also, though they are in the nature of special endowments for special services. As regards costs, each party is proposed to bear their own costs. The Public Prosecutor opines that in the circumstances of the case, the proposals appear to be reasonable

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. V. Anantaraman

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.1757/37-38 dated 2-3-38 from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore vision, Bangalore, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Re. O.S.17 of 34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (Yourself Vs. M. T. Narayana Iyengar and others.)

I have the honor to enclose herewith a copy of my letter addressed to Mr. Krishna Iyengar, Advocate, Bangalore, for reference. His reply thereto is also annexed herewith for favour of consideration. The proposals appear to me, under the circumstances, to be reasonable; especially, as he tells me that these special endowments will also be handed over.

"COPY"

M. N. Srinivasan.

For Muzrai Commissioner.

5 60/1837
P 837

M. C. 18]
No. Hb 120 Evel 4, 34-35

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, ...25th..March.....*1938*.

IMMEDIATE.

Law 112-34-12

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
.....General.....*Department.*

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
25 MAR 1938

SIR,

O.S.17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (Government Vs. Mr. M. T. Narayana Iyengar and others.)

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. P.5095/Law 112-34 dated 10/11-3-1938, re. the above, I have the honor to state that Mr. M. T. Narayana Iyengar had sent, with his letter dated 7-3-1938, a draft Scheme of Compromise (copy enclosed) on behalf of the Trustees; and as these proposals were found to be not in conformity with the proposals submitted by the Public Prosecutor, the latter was requested to further examine the proposals and send his opinion in the matter, together with the opinion of the Trustees, as regards the costs. The Public Prosecutor, in his letter No.1837/37-38 dated 21-3-1938 (copy enclosed), states that there is no inconsister in the proposals submitted by him, compared with those sent by Mr. Narayana Iyengar, as the defendants are agreeable to hand over all t assets belonging to the Mutt, including the special endowments to Government, the latter being arranged to be managed by a Committee c

2. Mr. M. T. Narayana Iyengar saw me in this connection and ex pressed the willingness of the Trustees to hand over all the propert to Government and to be discharged of their responsibilities under t Trust Deed, arrangements, however, being made to carry on the specia sevas, in respect of which, specific endowments are made, through a Committee.

3. From the list of properties (copy enclosed), since produced by Mr. M. A. Gopalaswamy Iyengar, Counsel for the Trustees, it is seen that there are three kinds of properties with the Trustees.

(i) Immoveable properties in and outside the State;

(ii) Special endowments for specific services in the temple; and

(iii) Specific Trusts under the management of independant Committees or individuals.

As regards (i), all the properties, except the building with vacant site in Malleswaram, Bangalore, have come under Government management. The Counsel has agreed, before me, to have the khate of the Mutt in Triplicane, Madras, transferred to the name of the Mutt from that of the Trustees in which it stands at present. As regards the house in Malleswaram, the contractor who built it says that his bill has not been fully paid and until that is paid he seems to be unwilling to give up possession of the building. I am arranging to have this claim examined and to get it settled by the Building Committee.

As regards (ii)--Special Endowments--these are endowments made by private gentlemen for the performance of specific services in the temple attached to the Mutt. A sum of Rs 14,543-7-6 is stated to have been thus endowed, which, including the accretion of interest, now amounts to Rs 17,500 and has been invested in certain deposits. The performance of these sevas is being looked after by the Trustees. As these amounts do not belong to the Mutt, but, are in the nature of puduvat endowments, the corpus of these endowments may be taken by Government and invested as Charitable Deposits, a scale of income and expenditure being fixed for each seva according to the income from the concerned endowment. The Trustees request that a Committee of 5 persons, from among the Mandayam Sri Vaishnava Community, may be appointed by Government to work under the presiding Swamigalu of the Mutt, and receive and expend interest from the endowments, in accordance with the direction of the Swami for the prescribed purposes. I am, however, of opinion that for carrying out the purposes of the Trust, the Muzrai Commissioner may be authorised to select 3 gentlemen belonging to Mandayam Communi and they may be authorised to receive and expend the interest amounts in accordance with the terms of the Trusts, according to the sanctioned scale, statements of income and expenditure and a brief report being submitted to the Muzrai Commissioner, every year.

As item (iii) amounting to Rs 17,000 consists of Special Trusts created by donors with independent Committees or individuals to manage their income, it is neither possible nor necessary to interfere with the management of these items.

4. As regards the court costs, the Public Prosecutor, to whom the instructions contained in your letter under reference were communicated, opines that as the case was not seriously contested by the Trustees, their request that each party may be allowed to bear their cost may be considered. I agree with the Public Prosecutor, and request that the proposal may be accepted. Whatever the orders of court may be in the matter, the costs will ultimately be debited to Mutt funds or Trust funds and there seems to be no point in contending that each party should bear their own cost. The amounts advanced from the General Muzrai Fund will be adjusted from the funds of the institution.

5. As the case stands posted to the 28th instant, I request early orders of Government to agree to the compromise, as proposed above, may be obtained and communicated to me within that date.

6. The Trustees will be required to render correct accounts of the amounts in their custody before obtaining a discharge.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

THE SRI YATIRAJA MUTT, MELKOTE.

I. List of Immoveable Properties belonging to the Mutt.

(1). Mutt building at Melkote.
(2). Mantap with vacant land, Melkote.
(3). House in North Street at Melkote.
(4). Burial Ground (Survey No. 76, guntas 18, assessment Re. 1/-) at Melkote.
(5) Wet land in Seringapatam Talul
(6) Wet land in T.Narsipur Taluk
(7) Wet land " " "
(8) House with vacant land in Malleswaram, Bangalore.
(9) House in Triplicane, Madras

Note:- The above properties are at present in the possession of either the Mathadhipati or the Government.

II. List of Immoveable Properties belonging to the Branch Mutt at Belur, Hassan District.

(1) Math building with vacant land at Belur.
(2) Vacant site and wet land at Belur.
(3) Vacant site at Belur.

Note:- The above properties appear to be the possession of the local Committee of Management.

III. Special Endowments under the Management of the Board of Trustees of the Mutt.

(1).	Vairamudi Tadiyaradhanam Fund	Rs 3235 0 0
(2)	Milk Sevartham Fund ...	Rs 1000 0 0
(3)	Pongal, Alankaram and other Sevartham Fund ...	Rs 3125 0 0
		Rs 7360 0 0

	Brought forward	Rs	7360	0	0
(4)	Previous Swami's Tirunaksnatram Fund	Rs	1250	0	0
(5)	Yeggammal's Endowment	Rs	525	0	0
(6)	M.A. Sreenivasaswami's Endowment for Sevartham in Vanamamalai Mutt	Rs	50	0	0
(7)	Mutt Permanent Fund	Rs	5358	7	6
	Total	Rs	14543	7	6

The above Funds including accumulated interest amounts are invested as noted below:-

(1)	Fixed Deposit in the Apex Bank	Rs	6500	0	
(2)	Mysore Dist. Board Debenture	Rs	1000	0	
(3)	Hypothecation of Wet Lands	Rs	1000	0	0
(4)	Fixed Deposit in Mysore Cooperative Society	Rs	3000	0	0
(5)	Fixed Deposit in Mysore Chamarajapuram Stores	Rs	3500	0	0
(6)	Current Deposit at Apex Bank	Rs	2500	0	0
			17500	0	0

IV. Special Trusts under the management of independent committees or persons:

(1)	Endowment by S.Tirunarayana Iyengar	Rs	5000	0	0
(2)	-do- Srimati S.Tirumalamma		10000	0	0
(3)	-do- Sriman Asuri Anandalwar (Present Head of the Mutt)		2000	0	0
(4)	-do- by M.O.Parthasarathi Iyengar, Madras				
(5)	-do- by M.O. Singra Iyengar				

V. Grants from Government etc.

(1)	Monthly grant from the Muzrai Dept.	Rs	15	1	0
(2)	-do- the Palace	"	9	0	0
(3)	-do- Govt. to Head of Mutt	"	25	0	0

Note:- The above grants are, at present, being received by the Mathadhipathi.

13/38.

Letter No.1837/37-38 dated 21-~~12~~-38 from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalo Division, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

O.S.17 of 1934 - 35.

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter No.H.C.120/34-35 and to state as hereunder.

The defendants are willing to hand over all the assets of the Sri Yethi raja Mutt to you inclusive of those which they have now disclosed in additio to those mentioned in the plaint.

What the defendants suggest are in no way inconsistent with my sugges- tion. What the defendants suggest is that certain private individuals have endowed certain properties (called special endowments) the income from which has to be utilised for certain sevas etc. The Corpus is to remain as a Trust fund. These special endowments are the ones which the defendants are willir to hand over to the Government to be administered in accordance with the ter of the respective trust deeds. As regards costs, since they did not serious- ly contest the suit on their personal behalf they request that each party ma be permitted to pay their own costs.

It is for your kind consideration whether the terms are acceptable and if so the draft form of the decree will be submitted to you in due course. If the terms are not acceptable, I will proceed with the suit on the next da date of hearing, as per instructions.

"COPY"

K. N. Ramachar

For Muzrai Commissioner.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

Ref: In the matter of managementof the Sri Yatiraja Mutt at Melkote about which a suit is pending as No.17/34-35 before The Additional District Judge of Bangalore.

As the defendants in the suit, we proposed that a compromise on the lines indicated in the accompanying memo may be feasible; a copy of this was also furnished to the Government Pleader. Probably you have also see it.

It is not necessary for us to point out the object underlying the proposal in the manner set out in the memo. If our object is appreciated we can only say that there is no difficulty for the Government in acceptin it.

We trust that it will be possible for the plaintiff and the defendant to end this litigation, by mutual consent, so that the Mutt affairs may be satisfactorily managed under the proposed permanent arrangement.

We beg to remain,

Sir,

Your obedient servants,

Sd.- M. T. Naraniengar.
(For the Trust Board.)

7th March 1938.

"Copy"

M. N. Anantha

For Muzrai Commissioner.

IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE, BANGALORE.

Plaintiff: Government of Mysore.
Vs.
Defendants: M. T. Naraniengar and others.

Draft Scheme of Management.

1. All moneys other than recurring grants, income by way of interest or otherwise from properties belonging to the Mutt, occasional payments of any other kind, only the income from which is intended or given as a permanent fund for special services or purposes, shall be invested with the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore in the Muzrai Department and be known as the "Melkote Sri Yadugiri Yatiraj Mutt Fund".

2. The income only from the said fund shall be applied and utilised for the particular purposes or services for which it is intended or given, by a Committee of five persons, who will be selected by the Government or H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, in consultation with the presiding Swami of the Mutt from among the persons belonging to the Mandayam Sri Vaishnava Brahmin Community. The said Committee shall work under the presiding Head of the Mutt and shall receive and expend the income from the Fund in accordance with the direction of the Swami for the prescribed purposes.

3. The Committee constituted as above shall work in accordance with Rules framed by Government regarding the term, filling up of vacancies nd other allied matters, so as to ensure the permanency of the Committee nd a proper discharge of their duties.

4. The present Trustees under the Deed of Trust dated 25/9/1921 arked Ex.A. in this suit shall deliver to the Plaintiff, viz., the f Mysore in the Muzrai Department, all the properties in their pos nd belonging to the Mutt with a view to give effect to the above te nd shall be discharged of their responsibilities thereunder.

- Sd.- M. T. Naraniengar.

(7-3-1938

"Copy"

M. N. for

For Muzrai Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Immediate. General and Revenue Secretariat

No. PS354 Law 112-36-12 Bangalore, Dated, 26th March 1938.

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir

Subject:- O.S. No.17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore (Government vs. M.T. Narayana Iyengar and others) compromise.

Reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. H.C. 120/34-35, dated 25th March 1938 on the above subject, I am directed to convey the approval of Government for the terms of compromise proposed in your letter under reference and to request you to issue necessary instructions to the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Sd/- M. Venkatesa Iyengar,
General Secretary to Government

Memo No.,

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore.

B.R. Ramakrishnaraja

for General Secretary to Government

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Law

File No. 112-34

Serial No. 13

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. P 5357.

To

The Muz: Commr

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Subject :— O. S. No. 17/34-35 on the file of the Additional Dist: Judge, Bellary (Govt. Vs. M. T. Narayana Iyengar and others) Compromise.

Date of issue 26.3.38

Signed by Mr. BKR

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 120/34-35, dated 25th March 1938 on the above subject, I am directed to convey the approval of Govt. for the terms of compromise proposed in your letter under reference, and to request you to issue necessary instructions to the

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

P. Pr B'lore in the Ma

I have, e

BM

for Gen

No. P 5355 & 26-2

opy to P. Pr, I

5727/37

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
.....Bangalore..... DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,
Dated.....31st March.....1938.

No.

Enc.

Law 112-334-14

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
.....Bangalore..... *Division,*
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
2 APR. 1938

To

Pro.

The General Secretary to the Government
of Mysore;
Bangalore

SIR,

Subject :— Re. OS. No. 17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge; Bangalore.
Govt: by the Municipal Commissioner by M. T. Narayanaswamy Iyengar & Ors.

I have the honour to state that the above case has been adjourned to ~~to~~ decreed today as per instructions.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
4 APR. 1938
5779

Subd for perusal
The decree copy will be awaited.
4/4

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sub B
9-4-38

Public Prosecutor.

WD 03485—GPB—900—30-8-37

1456/38

No. 120/34-35

Our copy rs delivered.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE

Bangalore, ...29th August.....193 8

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

C. Subrahmanya Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Additional *Secretary to Government of His Highness*
the Maharaja of Mysore,
.....Bangalore.....*Department,*

SIR,

O.S.7/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (Government Vs. M.T.Narayana Iyengar and others.)

--

In continuation of this office letter No.H.C.120/34-35 dated 16-7-1938 re. the above, I have the honor to forward herewith, certified copy of the decree in the above case, for kind perusal and return early, to enable me to take necessary further action.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. N. Anantaraman.
for Muzrai Commissioner.

HL

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P/618 Law 112-34 *Dated, Bangalore,* 21st September 1958

Cert. Copy of decree

From

The Additional Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Mazrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Subject:-
O.S. No.7/54-55 on the file of the Additional District Judge. Bangalore (Govt. Vs. M.T. Narayana Iyengar & ors.)

Sir,

As desired in your letter No.M.C. 120/54-55 dated 29th August 1958, I am directed to return herewith the certified copy of the decree in the above case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

For Additional Secretary to
Government.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 21.9.38

Signed by M. BKR

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

Section Law

File No. 112 – 34

Serial No.

No. P1618/Lead. Copy of decree

To The Mun: Commr.

Subject:– O.S. No. 7/34-35 on file of the Addl. Dist. Judge, B'lore (Govt. Vs M.T. Narayana Iyengar and others).

Sir,

As desired in your letter no. H.C. 120/34-35, dated 29th Aug: 1938, I am directed to return herewith the certified copy of the decree in the above Case.

I have etc:

BKR

for Addl Secy:

WD 0278—GPB—30,000—4-3-38

No. 20928/36-37

Encl

Mysg Commr's V.
25-5-37

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.
Dated 25th May 1937.

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore

GENERAL SECRETARY
26 MAY 1937

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject:— GS 17/134-37 on the file of the Second Additional District Judge Bangalore.
(Vetting Draft Case)

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to 7th June 1937 for awaiting orders regarding the the proposals for a compromise submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S Doraswamy
Public Prosecutor.

WD 02100—900—27.2.36

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
....Bangalore..... DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

No. 1162-31.

GENERAL SECRETARY.
Rec. 196
17 JUNE 1937

Dated...14th June.....193 7.
16

FROM Law

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore

SIR,

Subject:— C.S. 17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore.

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to 30/6/1937 for issue of notices to Legal Representatives as the

19
noted
Subd for perusal
22/6

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

WD 02100—900—27.2.36

Sir c
23-6-37

413
P 837

Office of the Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore, dated 16th July 1937.

No. 7a-3/37-38

From

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore.

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY.
17 JULY 1937

Sir,

Re: O.S. 17 of 36-37 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore. (Government by the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore Vs. M.T.N. Iyengar & others)

I have the honour to intimate that the above case has been adjourned to 19/7/37 for objection of the Legal Representatives of the deceased 6th defendant.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

for Public Prosecutor.

No. 124-5 / 37-38

Office of the public prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore, dated 20th July 1937.

From

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY
22 JULY 1937

To

General Secretary,
to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir,

Re: O.S.No. 17 of 34-35 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore. (Mysore Commissioner in Mysore Bangalore Vs. M. T. Narayana Iyengar).

I have the honour to intimate that the above case has been adjourned to 2nd August 1937 for evidence.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

Office of the Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore, dated 3rd August '37.

No. 204-5/37-38

From

G.S. Doraswami Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Public prosecutor,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY
4 AUG. 1937

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENERAL & SECRET
4 AUG. 1937

Sir,

Re: O.S.No. 17 of 34-35 on the file of the Addl. District Judge, Bangalore. (Government of Mysore by Mussrai Commissioner Bangalore Vs. M. T. Narayana Iyengar and others)

I have the honour to inform that the above case has been adjourned to 18th August 1937 for ~~for~~ evidence.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Public Prosecutor.

Subd for perusal.
5/8
5.8
5-8-37

Office of the Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore, dated 19th August 1937.

No. 308-9/37-38

SECRETARY
20 AUG. 1937

From

G. S. Doraswami Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore.

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Re: O.S.No. 17 of 34-35 on the file of the Addl. District Judge, Bangalore. (The Mysore Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore vs. M. T. Narayana Iyengar & others).

I have the honour to inform that the above case has been adjourned to..31/8/37.....

.....

19
Noted
Subd for perc
23-8

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Public Prosecutor.

Office of the public prosecutor
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore, dated August 1937.

No. 390-1/37-38

From

O.S. Doraswami Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.
public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY.
4 SEP. 1937

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Re: O.S.No. 17 of 34-35 on the file of the Addl. Sessions Judge, Bangalore. (Government Vs. Iyengar & others).

I have the honour to inform that the above case has been adjourned to 20th September 1937 to await further report from the High Court.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

Filed for perusal

11.9

11-9-37

1937

No. 549-601/37-38

Enc.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,
Dated 20 — 9 — 193 7.

FROM

GENERAL SECT. 21 SEP. 1937

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore *Division,*
Bangalore

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore

SIR,

Subject :— GS. 17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore (Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore Vs. M.T. Narayana Iyengar and others)

I have the honour to state that the above case has been adjourned to 6th October 1937 to await ~~the~~ Court orders from the High Court.

19
Noted
Submd for perusal.
23/9.

Bdl
23/9

Sec'
23-9-37

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

WD 03485—GPB—900—30-8-37

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
...Bangalore..... DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

No. 784-37-37-28

Enc.

Dated.....8th.....October.....193 7 .

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore..... Division,
...Bangalore.....



TO

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject :— O.S.No.17 of 34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (The Muzrao Commissioner, Bangalore Vs. Messers.M.T.Narayana Iyengar & others)

I have the honour to state that the above case has been adjourned 3rd November 1937 to await orders of the High Court. as

.....

ited for fer...

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

✓ 2763/37
p837

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
.....Bangalore..... DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

No. 9207 37-38

Enc.

Dated.....5th.....November.....193 7

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
.....Bangalore..... *Division,*
.....Bangalore.....

GEN- 8 NOV

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject :— O.S.17 of 34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore Vs. H.T.Narayana Iyengar & others)

I have the honour to state that the above case has been adjourned to.....1st..December..1937...to...await..records..from..High..Court..as the.....

19
Noted
wbd for perusal.
10KR
9.11

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd Doraswamy Gr
Public Prosecutor.

9-11-37

D 03485—GPB—900—30-8-37

3426

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

No. 1186-7/37-38

Enc.

Dated 3rd December *1937*.

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore *Division;*

GENERAL SECRETARY
7 DEC. 1937

Bangalore.

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject :— O.S.17 of 36-37 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (Government Vs. M.T.Narayana Iyengar and others)

I have the honour to state that the above case has been adjourned to 13th December 1937.

Subd for perusal

8/12

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Rec'd 8/12/37

Public Prosecutor.

WD 03485—GPB—900—30-8-37

NO. 1272-3/37-38

Enc.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
...Bangalore..... DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Dated.....14th December.....1937.

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore..... Division,
.....Bangalore.....

GENERAL SECRETARY. 17 DEC. 1937

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject :— O.S.17 of 34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (Government Vs M.T.Narayana Iyengar and others)

I have the honour to state that the above case has been adjourned to.....17th December 1937 for evidence..... ~~and~~ ~~the~~.....

Subs for perusal
16/12/37

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor

WD/03485—GPB—900—30-8-37

3724/38
P

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
....Bangalore..... DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,
Dated....20th....December.....*193* 7.

No. 1303-4 37-38

Enc.

FROM *The Public Prosecutor,*
Bangalore..... Division,
....Bangalore.....

GENERAL SECRETARY
21 DEC. 1937

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject :—O.S.17 of 34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore Vs. M.T.Narayana Iyengar.)

I have the honour to state that the above case has been adjourned to 17th January 1938 for evidence.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor

Subs for perusal
23/12/37
23.12

No. 1589-90/37-39

Enc.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOI
.....Bangalore.... DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Dated....2nd February.....*1938* .

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore..... Division,

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 5 FEB. 1938

.....Bangalore.....

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject :— O.S.17 of 34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (Muzrai Commissioner, Bangalore Vs. M.T.Narayana Iyengar and others)

I have the honour to state that the above case has been adjourned to.....16th February 1938.....as the .....

Subs for perusal
92

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

WD 03485—GPB—900—30-8-37

GENERAL SECRETARY. 21 FEB. 1938

No. 16 Revt 38.

Enc.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
.....Bngalore..... DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,
Dated.....17--2-- 1938.....*193* .

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
....~~Bangalore~~..... *Division,*
....Bangalore.....

TO

The General Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject :— Re:- O.S. 17 of 34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore.
(Government .VS. Messrs. M.T. Narayana -Iyengar& oth

I have the honour to state that the above case has been adjourned to.....26th, February 1938 for further Evidence.....as the .....

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

WD 03485—GPB—900—30-8-37

-532-38

No. 1156/38-39.

Enc.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION.

DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Bangalore City, 20th July *193* 8.

From

G. S. DORASWAMY IYER, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore City.

To

C. Subrahmanya Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY
21 JULY 1938

Sir,

Re: O.S.17 of 34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (Government vs. M.T.Narayana Iyengar and others)

Correspondence ending with the Muzrai Commissioner's Memo No.H.C.120 of 34-35 dated 14-7-38 forwarding a copy of the letter addressed to you, I have the honour to state that an application was filed so early as 28-6-38 and that the copy has not yet been received. As soon as it is received it will be submitted.

Submitted for perusal.
Judgt. Copy will be awaited.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P 2238,

Dated, Bangalore, 8th November 1938.

From

The Additional Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Public Prosecutor,
BANGALORE.

Subject:- O.S.No.17/34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore (Government vs. M.T.Narayana Iyengar and others)

Sir,

I am directed to request you to kindly return Muzrai File No.188-34 Sls. 1-2 sent to you for reference in the above case, with this office letter No. P.5801, dated 31st March 1937.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

BKR

for Addl. Secretary to Government.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Law

File No.

Serial No.

...ary No. of letter replied to .....

No. P 2238

To The P. Pr.

B'lore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Subject :- O.S. No. 17/34-35 on the file of the Addl. Dist. Judge, B'lore (Govt vs K.T. Narayana Iyengar & others)

Date of issue 9/11/38

Signed by BKR

Sir,

I am directed to request you to kindly return Mulgrai File No. 188-34 Sl. 1-2, sent to you for reference in the above case, with this office letter no. P 5804, dated 31st March 1937.

I have etc.,

BKR

for Addl Secy.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 0278—GPB—30,000—4-3-38

From

G. S. DORASWAMY IYER, B.A., B.L.
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE CITY.

2990/38

BANGALORE CITY,

748/38/39

Dated, 11th NOVR 1938

Encs one file

REV. SECRETARIAT
12. NOV. 1938

The Additional Secretary to Government of Mysore

BANGALORE.

Sir;

GENERAL SECRETARY
12 NOV. 1938

Re. O.S.No.17 of 34-35 on the file of the Additional Judge, Bangalore.. Government Vs. M.T.Narayana Iyengar & others.

With reference to your letter No. 2238 dated 8/9th November 1938 I have the honour to state that the Muzrai file No. 188-34/ is sent herewith.

Kindly acknowledge the receipt.

Pages 4 and 8 of this collection are said to have been filed in Court (Vide flag L).

A draft acknowledging and requesting to obtain and send those papers is put up for approval

Sd/ BKR 12-11

No P 2439, dt 16-11-38

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/ Doraswamy Iyer

Public Prosecutor.

16-11-38

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 14th November 1938.

No. Par 39

From

The Additional Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore City.

Subject:- O.S. No.17/34-35. on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore. (Government Vs M.T.Narayana Iyengar and others).

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of Muzrai File No.188-34 Sls 1-2, sent with your letter No. 748/38-39, dated 11th November 1938, I am directed to request you to obtain and send, at an early date, pages 4 and 8 of that collection, which are said to have been filed in Court.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Additional Secretary to Govt.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Law

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. P4439.

To The P. P.

B'lore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Subject:— O. S. No. 17/34-35 on the file of the Addl. Dist. Judge, B'lore (Govt. vs M. T. Narayana Iyengar & ors

Date of issue..... 16.11.38

Signed by ..... Mr. BKR.

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of Mysore file No. 188-34 Sls 1-2, sent with your letter No. 748/38-39, dated 11th Nov: 1938, I am directed to request you to obtain and send, at an early date, pages 4 and 8 of that Collection, which are said to have been filed in Court.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

WD 0278—GPB—30,000—4-3-38

3538/38

No. 787/38-39.
Enc.

REV. SECRET

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,

Bangalore, 21st November, 1938 193

FROM

G. S. DORASWAMY IYER, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION, BANGALORE CITY.

To

The Additional Secretary to the Govt.of Mysore,

Bangalore.

SIR,

Re. O.S.No.17 of 34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge,Bangalore.(Govt. Vs. M.T.Narayan Iyengar and others).

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of letter No.P. 2439 dated the 16th November,1938 and to state that return application for documents has been filed and the same will be forwarded to you after the receipt of the same.

Reminder is put up.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Dvn, Bangalore.

P 4730, dy 4/3/39

4-3-39

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P4730

Dated, Bangalore, 3rd March 1939

From

The ~~Chief~~ Additional *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Public Prosecutor,

Bangalore

Sir,

Subject:- O.S.No.17 of 34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore (Government VS.M.T. Narayana Iyengar and others.)

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.787/38-39, dated 21st November 1938 in regard to the above, I directed to enquire as to when those documents may be expected to be received.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Additional Secretary to Government.

MK.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Law

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. P4730.

To The P. P.

B'lore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Subject :- O. S. No. 17 of 34-35 on the file of the Addl. Dist. Judge B'lore (Govt. & M. T. Narayana Jaya & others.)

Date of issue 4/3/39

Signed by Mr. M.K.R.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no. 787/38-39, dated 21st Nov: 1938 in regard to the above, I am directed to enquire as to when those documents may be expected to be received.

I have etc.,

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

WD 0278—GPB—30,000—4-3-38

1287/38-39

BRR/Q3

5622/F

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,

Bangalore City, ...7th March, 1939.....*193*

G. S. DORASWAMY IYER, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION, BANGALORE CITY.

To

The Additional Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

SIR,

O.S.No.17 of 34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore (Government. Vs. M.T. Narayana Iyengar).

With reference to your letter No. P. 4730/dated 3/4-3-1939, I have the honour to state that an application has been filed for return of documents. The same will be forwarded to you after its receipt from the Court.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Dvn, Bangalore.

A draft Reminder is put up 6/(20/5/29) 28/7/39

Issued no P 598, dt 31-7-29 Sd A 31-7-39

ndix F G—1]

BJECT .....

No.
Enc. .....

.....

FROM

Section Law

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. P598

To The P. Pr.

B'lore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Subject:- O.S. No. 17 & 34-35 on the file of the Addl. Dist. Judge, B'lore (Govt. vs K.T. Narayana Iyengar.)

Date of issue 31.7.39

Signed by Mr. K.P.P.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no. 1287/38-39, dated 7th March 1939 stating that an Return application has been filed for Return of documents in regard to the above case, I am directed to enquire as to when they may be

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

5622 ✓ 7.8.39 P 8.39

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

No. 183

Enc.



Dated 1.8.1939.

FROM

G. S. DORASWAMY IYER, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
BANGALORE DIVISION,
BANGALORE CITY.

3/8/39

TO The Secretary to Government Law Department Bangalore

SIR,

Reference— P. 598 re Govt v/s H. J. Narayana Iyengar & ors

I have the honour to invite your kind attention to the above and to state that another application for a return will be filed today and the documents will be sent early.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G S Doraswamy Iyr
Public Prosecutor.

Secy B
5-8-39

No. P4359

~~20th~~ 22nd December 1939.

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore.

Subject:- O.S.No.17 of 34-35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore (Government Vs M.T. Narayana Iyengar & others).

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.185/dated 1st August 1939 stating that another return application has been filed for return of documents in regard to the above case. I am directed to enquire as to when they may be expected to be received in this office.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT... .....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. P4359

Diary No. of letter replied to.. .....

To

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore City.
Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 22/12/35

Signed by ..... Hon. P. S. P

Subject: Cr. no. 17 of 35 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore (Govt. Vs. M. T. Narayana Iyengar & others)

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no. ~~[illegible]~~ 185/ dated 1-8-1935 stating that another revision application has been filed for return of documents in regard to the above case. I am directed to enquire as to when they may be expected to be received in this office.

List of enclosures.... ....

Copied by .. ..

Examined by ....

WD 0563—GPB—30,000—6-1-39

S 264 P 239

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

No. C. H.C. No 120. Encl 3.

Bangalore, 8th February *1940.*



RUKN-UL-MULK
S. ABDUL WAJID, B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,



To

C. Subrahmanya Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Law *Department.*

Law 112-34. 16

SIR,

C.S.No.7/34-35 (Government Vs. V. T. Naranaiengar and others) on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore.

With reference to the Additional Secretary's letter No.P.1618/ Law 112-34 dated 21-9-1938 on the above subject, I have the honor to state that as per decree passed in the above suit, the khata of the Mutt building in Triplicane, Madras, should be transferred to the name of the Mutt from that of the trustees in whose name it stands at present. The trustees have suggested a draft release deed conferring all their rights over the building at Madras in favour of the vendee Mutt (the present Swamigalu), while the Public Prosecutor who was consulted in the matter has proposed a draft sale deed on the ground that the title over the house should also be conveyed to the Mutt. The transferee is named as The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore in the draft sale deed. As he is only an administrative officer, I think that the transfer ought to be in favour of the beneficiary, viz., Sri Swamigalu of the Mutt.

2. As mere change of khata in the name of the Mutt may not confer a legal right and as the compromise decree does not contemplate the transfer of right by a sale deed, I request that the views of Government in the Law Department, may kindly be obtained and furnished in the matter.

3. The connected enclosures are sent herewith for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P5801.

Bangalore, Dated, 23rd February 1940.

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Law ~~Revenue~~ *Department.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Sir, Bangalore.

Subject:-O.S.No.17 of 34-35 (Government Vs. M.T. Narayana Iyengar) on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No.C.H.C. No.120 dated 8th February 1940, I am directed to invite reference to this office letter No.P.1618/Law 112-34 dated 21st September 1938 and to request you to be so good as to kindly forward the certified copy of decree in the case for reference as this is necessary t give an opinion in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. PS801

To

The Chief Commissioner

Mysore, Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Subject: - Reg. No. 17 of 34-35 (Govt. vs. Mr. T. Narayana Iyengar and others) on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore

Date of issue 23/2/40

Sir, With reference to your letter No. C.H.C. No. 120 dated 8th February 1940 I am directed to invite reference to this office letter No. P. 1618/Law 112-34 dated 28th September 1938 and to request you to be so good as to kindly forward the certified copy of decree in the case for reference and return. This is necessary to give an opinion in the matter.

I have etc.

Signed by Mr. K.P.P

Jr. Secy to Govt. Law Dept.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by

Examined by.....

WD 0563—GPB—30,000—6-1-39

5687/P5839

No H.C.120/34-5

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 26th..February...1940xxx .

FROM Rukn-ul-Mulk
S. ABDUL WAJID, Esq., B.A.,
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.





To The *Secretary to Government of His Highness*
the Maharaja of Mysore,
.....Law.....*Department,*

SIR,

O.S.No.17/34-35 (Government Vs. M. T. Naranaiengar)
on the file of the Additional District Judge, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No.P.5801 dated 23-2-1940 on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith the certified copy of the decree passed in the above suit, for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. N. Anandaram.
Assistant Commissioner
For Muzrai Commissioner.
Absent from headquarters

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat 1940.

Dated, Bangalore, 28th March /

No. P648/Law 1/2-34-17
Misc. Records

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Law Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

O.S. No. 7/34-35. on the file of the
Additional District Judge, Bangalore.
(Government Vs Narayana Iyengar & others

—*—

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.H.C.120/34-5, dated 26th February 1940, in regard to the above case, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the legal openion expressed in the matter.

The Records received are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

MKN 28/3

for Secretary to Government,

Ap ndix F G—1]

SUBJECT... .....

.....

.....

Section Law

File No. 1.2-34

Serial No. 17

Diary No. of letter replied to..... .....

No. P.6481/Records

To

The Mun. Commr.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Subject :- O.S. No. 7/34-35 on the file of the Additional Dist. Judge, Bellary (Govt. Vs M.T. Narayana Iyengar & others)

Date of issue 29.3.40

Sir,

Signed by Mr. DKR.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no. HC 120/34-5, dated 26th Feb. '40 in regard to the above case, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the legal opinion expressed in the matter.

Please make a copy of notes in para 127 ① to ③

List of enclosures.....

The records received are herewith returned.

I have the

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

for Secy. to Govt. Law Dept.

1144

5681 ✓ 5881 /39

SECY. LAW DEPT. 6 MAR 1940 GOVT OF MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION.
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

Bangalore City, 5th March, 1940 193

From. Hw 6/3/ 613

G.S.Doraswami Iyer, Esqr., B.A. B.L.,
Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Dvn, Bangalore

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore.

Law 112-34

(Govt Vs M.T. Narayana Iyengar)

Sir, A 4/3

5681 - 5264 5681 I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of your letter No. 4359 and to state that the papers will be sent very early.

134 P

Law Secy 13/3.

B 30/3

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant

G. Doraswamy Iyer

Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Dvn, Bangalore.

Subs. for perusal
Secy 3/3/40

SB 40/4 Secy

Secy B 4-4-40 A draft reminder is put up

P. 881.

3rd August 1940.

xxxx

LAW DEPARTMENT.

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore District.

Subject: O.S.17/34-5 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore (Government -vs- M.T.Narayana-Iyengar and others).

I am directed to invite reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 1144 dated 5th March 1940 on the above subject and to request to be informed as to when the papers would be forwarded. An early reply is requested.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

TN-2)

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT 05.17/34-5 on the file of the Dt. Judge Bangalore (Govt. vs. M.T. Narayana Iyengar & others)

Section Law
File No. 112-34
Serial No. 12

No. P881

To

The Public Prosecutor
Bangalore Dt.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No 114 of 5-3-40 on the above subject and to request ~~you to be so good as to state~~ the expenses as ~~at~~ when the papers would be forwarded. An early reply is requested

Yours faithfully
for
Secretary

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 3/8/40

Signed by Dy. K.P.P

Draft & Sanction
Dom 2/8
Dy 3.8.40
Issued vide P. 881 dt 3/8/40

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

ID 0563—GPB—30,000—6-1-39

1178/40

Doraswamy Iyer, B.A., B.L.
ADVOCATE & PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore City.

Office of the Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
District Office Buildings,
Bangalore, 8th August 1940.

T3

T.260
for:—Draft

To

The Law Secretary
To the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Re: O.S.17/34-35 on the file of the
District Judge, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No.P.881 dated 3.8.1940, I have the honour to return herewith the marginally noted 3 files, the receipt of which may kindly be acknowledged.

File	No.65-31- 1 to 8.
"	No.112-34- 1 - 2
"	No. 87- 32 - 1 to 7

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Doraswamy

Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore.

No. P. 1359/Law 31. 8. 1940.

Law Department.

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore District,
Bangalore.

Subject: O.S. No.17 of 34-35. on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore.

The receipt of the three secretariat collections forwarded with your letter, No. T.260. dated 13th August 1940 are herewith acknowledged.

It is requested that this office may be intimated if the return of certain papers filed in Court in connection with the above case, has been obtained and as to when the receipt of the same may be expected in this office.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

h

Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

Tsn/2.

Appendix F G—1j

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Law

File No.

Serial No.

No. P. 1359/Law

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

To

The public Prosecutor
Bangalore District
Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Subject :- Cos. no. 17 of 34-35 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore.

Date of issue 31.8.40

Sir

Signed by Jas K-P.P.

M/three secretariat Collections forwarded with your letter no. T. 260 dated 13th August 1940 are herewith acknowledged.

It is requested that this office may be intimated if the return of certain papers filed in court in connection with the above case, has been obtained and as to when the receipt of the same may be expected in this office

I have &c.

3/

for Secy to Govt Law

List of enclosures.....

Copied by 3/8/40

Examined by.....

WD 0563—GPB—30,000—6-1-39